

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 09-251

Complainant: No. 1373410658A

Judge: No. 1373410658B

ORDER

The complainant alleged the judge refused to give him full custody of his child because of racial bias. After reviewing the allegations and listening to the recording of the hearing the complainant provided, the commission found no evidence of misconduct on the part of the judge. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 11, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 11, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

9/7/09

To: Judge Performance

Fr:

Re: Case # FC 2007-

This case is being forwarded and your department in reference to a "one-sided case". The Respondent arrived to Arizona in the fall of 2006, from his first day in the city he has been in court arguing orders that have survived the times and trials of the Plaintiff hatred for him being with his children. To begin all of the Michigan orders have been accepted and approved for the Arizona courts. Plaintiff has continued to question Judge [redacted] decisions. This coming from a person that has been held in contempt ten (10) times and never once held accountable for her actions, so she continues to muddy the waters of justice.

My oldest daughter is 19 and is in a drug/alcohol program in Minnesota, staying with my sister. After Plaintiff abandoned her on March 13, 2007. I was in the right place to try and save her from her mother. A mother love will always out weight the fathers, sometimes. A mother is suppose to be they're for her children. This didn't happen. The Plaintiff wasn't allowed to have her daughter back in the same house with her husband. After dropping out of school and starting a long road of drug usage. The Respondent was able to have daughter enroll in Primavera on-line course to get her high school diploma. Please note the Plaintiff is an Administrative for [redacted] districts. The Plaintiff then went to Judge [redacted] courtroom and while my daughter was still fighting the demons of drugs advised her to take \$22,000 from her Structure Settlement that the Respondent was the Executive to by misleading the Judge in saying she would over see the money. The daughter received a \$4,500 car and \$1,500 in cash. The Plaintiff kept the rest while the daughter never seen another dollar from her money. The case was soon moved to another judge after I questioned the decision without confirming with Respondent. To this day the Plaintiff is trying to withdraw more money from her account while she in Minnesota in a clinic? [redacted] Esq.

Now to the case at hand, my son who is 17 years and senior in high school and being recruited by University of Penn and other colleges. One problem his grades are less than Ivy league material, proving worst Plaintiff who again works in the education field allowed the son to take three (3) gyms classes this semester. The young man was recruited to go to one of Michigan Best [redacted] on a complete scholarship. She refused to allow him to attend. This young man now has been in the Junville system twice. Once for hitting the Respondent where the police were called in and have him arrested. To just recently fighting, his absence from the school is noted from fights that have caused him over 30 days out of class. Judge [redacted] sentenced the Plaintiff to have an evaluation and for both the Plaintiff and son see Psychotics. (Please note Bench Memorandum)

In today's society 1% of Black men attend college in any form. If this young man is given back to Plaintiff/mother he will not graduate or attend college. Remember his sister?

This is a simple case of PAS (Parental Alienation Syndrome). The Plaintiff will not provide this child with an education. I will, but he has to be out of the Plaintiff's house.

On the tape is a meeting 4/09/09 with the Superintendent of [redacted] Athletic Director, Coach Plaintiff, Respondent and Son. He clearly states with the approval of the Superintendent to transfer and have a new start for better grades and a chance to finally play and take advantage of a scholarship.

Obviously, I'm forced to represent myself in defense of my children. But, in the end I given broken children after the expiration date of support has worn off. These are victims for our divorce that has caused too much damage on these children.

They're not chess pieces in a game to see who wins?