

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 09-252

---

Complainant: No. 1373510773A

Judge: No. 1373510773B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised involve legal and procedural matters outside the jurisdiction of the commission. The commission is not a court and cannot review evidence to determine whether or not a judge's decision is correct. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: January 20, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on January 20, 2010.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CJC 09-252

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 8/18/09

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

1) No Judge / a Complaint - Eviction Action - Case No. CC 2009-  
on 4/16/09 by for the Plaintiffs, and a false Summons-  
Eviction Action, signed by Justice of the peace on 4/16/09.  
Defendants; is fraudulent, never  
paid and rent to these Plaintiffs on the Complaint, and the Summons.  
The undersigned attorney, does hereby verify that the  
attorney believes the Plaintiffs in this Complaint to  
be true on the basis of a reasonably diligent inquiry 4/16/09. See  
attached Document No. 1 These Plaintiffs on 4/15/2009  
breached our Contract. No Rights in the Justice Court. These  
Plaintiffs and In care of  
Realty Investments 4/16/09 and on 4/23/09 dba  
ess, LLC, is false Plaintiffs join in on this Criminal Case No.  
CC 2009- Judgment Special / Forcible Detainer. These  
false Plaintiffs have No kind of sign Contract with  
from May 1, 2008 to April 30, 2009 to the present.

2) The justice of peace, Willful and knowingly  
that this case was a criminal Judgment, and the Summons,  
Complaint, Writ of Restitution, and we did not sign our Rights  
away. The Court adjudges and finds the false Defendants  
guilty of Judgment of Special detainer  
for non-payment of false rent. We don't know who forged  
our name to waives all of our Rights? on 4/23/09.  
This fraudulent Judgment Order and Ordered granting  
judgment to the false Plaintiffs,

Continue - case No. CC 2009  
 - Complaint against false Plaintiffs -  
 and dba LLC IS another  
 false Plaintiff join in false criminal case  
 No. CC 2009- for Laveen,  
 A3

(3). Complaint - Eviction Action, and Summons -  
 Eviction Action, false wrong Plaintiffs, and Defendants.

a. 4/16/09 Plaintiffs and  
 In care of  
 Realty & Investments,  
 attorney for Plaintiffs misrepresented to be  
 Landlord, 4/5/09 We never received  
 any certified Mail from these false Plaintiffs (above).  
 See Document #2.

b. 4/16/09 Trustee of the peace  
 Taken all of Civil Rights,  
 we had 2 days to answer this false Summons -  
 Eviction Action. misrepresented that  
 must appear within 1 day and a written  
 answer in 2 days.

All our Rights was taken by the Justice Court etc,  
 we had twenty (20) calendar days to answer.  
 false Defendants answer  
 on 4/17/09 and 4/20/09 and 4/21/09.

(4). Counterclaim (Forcible / Special Detainer by the  
 false Defendants - 4/17/09  
 4/20/09, 4/21/09 Complaint - General Denial Actions each  
 and everyone. The Clerk has all of the original Documents.  
 Receipt on 4/20/09. See attached Documents #4

(5). 4/22/09 answer to Counter-

Case No. CC 2009-

- Claim, wherefore still the false Plaintiffs, and Plaintiffs misrepresent false Defendants failed to state a claim in the sum of \$10,000.00. Plaintiffs false of Offect. Never had any litigation of this matter on 4/23/09, wherefore Plaintiffs misrepresented that the false Defendants asked to dismiss this fraudulent false case. Judgement order on 4/23/09 a writ to issue on 4/23/09. See Document #3, This false case never was dismissed or dismissed with a judgment for the false Plaintiffs on 4/23/09. See Document #4. false Defendants, counterclaim exceeds jurisdiction of small claims Division for \$10,000.00, this case should have been immediately transferred to the Superior Court, and the plaintiffs pay for change of venue to the proper venue, and Transfer of action to Superior Court, <sup>filed</sup> in excess of Justice Court [Jurisdiction Pays],

- (6). At Court 4/23/09 Case matter CC 2009- <sup>only case</sup> contained in the written agreement can be enforced. The false Plaintiffs has never had a contract. ~~5/1/09 to 4/23/09~~ with
- (7). See attached Documents #7 answer plaintiffs counterclaims on 4/27/09 one day before the trial date 4/23/09 (fraud) by the justice court.
- (8). 5/7/09 false judgment proceeding 4/23/09 by plaintiffs attorney, and the justice of the Peace and the Court Clerk.
- (9). Default Judgments 4/23/09, -non written contract by the false plaintiffs.
- (10). 6/5/09 The false plaintiffs Responded to false Defendants Default judgment and the counterclaim

Case No. CC 2009-

on 4/20/09  
the Small Claim Division, attorney for plaintiffs  
dismissed our Counterclaim which was dismissed  
on May 12, 2009 by this Court June 5, 2009.

(1) This Court, on May 12, 2009 denied  
Counterclaim and left in effect fraudulent  
judgment of false plaintiffs of April 23, 2009,  
false doctrine of res judicata June 17, 2009. See attached  
Document #11.

(2) See attached Document #12  
letter dated July 1, 2009 at the old address, Judge  
RE: Case No. CC 2009- The  
Real landlord breach the Residential Lease agreement on  
4/5/09.

- Judge, Justice Court,  
and the Judge's ruling on denying  
default judgment against all the false plaintiffs, in  
the sum of \$10,000.00 (each) on 4/20/09 and 4/23/09.  
The judgment should have been entered against the false  
plaintiffs and the fraudulent case was not close on  
April 23, 2009. (This fraudulent case by fraud, filed by  
attorney, and the - Justice  
of the peace for the false plaintiffs.

Counterclaim exceeded the small claim division on 4/20/09,  
in the amount of \$10,000.00 and default judgment plaintiffs  
fail to answer with written to the proper defendants this  
whole case is untimely and (Fraud).

will file a civil complaint against the  
Real plaintiffs, when this fraudulent case is settle  
Case no. CC 2009 and this case is for from being  
close. Justice of the peace, untimely Ruling denying  
Default judgment 7/1/09, and further ordered

Case No. CC 2009.

the false Defendants,  
shall not file for any additional relief.

- (13) The water service Department, City of Phoenix,  
has a copy of Residential  
Lease agreement at Laveen,  
As From 5/1/08 to 4/30/09. SRP has a copy,  
Pace has copy, Family Services Center,  
has a copy.

On 4/20/09 we mailed Attorney, Coy the  
false Plaintiffs, a copy of the Real Residential Lease agreement,  
on 4/20/09 we mailed Justice Court the  
Real Residential Lease agreement. See attached  
copy of the Real Lease. Attorney, and Plaintiffs  
came into Justice Court with unclean hands.

Due to the wilful and knowingly violations  
by wrongful plaintiffs, breach of my real Residential  
Lease agreement. Due to the  
justice Court engaged in this false case with  
the false plaintiffs and defendants.

Wherefore we are asking for \$10,000.00 each from the  
false plaintiffs. We state under penalty of perjury  
that the foregoing is true and correct.

Certify that we delivered a copy of this claim  
original to Plaintiff's attorney. Date: 8/19/09 By \_\_\_\_\_