State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 09-281	
Complainant:	No.	1375810789A
Judge:	No.	1375810789B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 18, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 18, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC 09-281

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	_ Judge's name:	Date: 10/7/09
provide all of the important : plain paper of the same size t	our own words what the judge did that you believe names, dates, times and places related to your com to explain your complaint, and you may attach addit ttach copies of any documents you believe will help	plaint. You can use this form or ional pages. Do not write on the
I am en	closing the motions and	documentation
that I file	ed with the court as	my see explanation
and evide	nce to you of the Pre	esiding Comm.
_ _	ı,	bigs toward
me in rece	ent court hearings, The	esultaing in a legally
	sions will severe and fin	legal/ytaiputust
Consequence	s for me that an	unbiases and
unpregualo	ed decision would not he	are resulted in
		Mr.

Name of Person Filing: Address: City, State, Zip Code: Day/Evening Telephone: In this case I am a:

Petitioner Respondent

COPY

OCT 1 3 2009

FOR CLERK'S USE ONLY
MICHAEL & OVER
DEPUTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA IN MARICOPA COUNTY

Case Number: DR 2000-

Change of Commissioner

(The section below must be written to explain your request - what you want the judge to order if he/she grants your request (or Motion). If the judge agrees with your request, he/she will sign the attached Order or issue a "minute entry" noting his/her decision.)

I HEREBY REQUEST THAT COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER ON ANY FURTHER HEARINGS INVOLVING THE RESPONDANT DUE TO THE
COMMISSIONER'S VERY CLEAR PREJUDICE AND BIAS AGAINST THE RESPONDANT ON THE FOLLOWING
ISSUES, DECISIONS, AND ACTIONS FROM THE RECENT HEARINGS INVOLVING THE RESPONDANT AND
PETITIONER.

- 1. THE COMMISSIONER CLEARLY MISSUNDERSTOOD AND REFUSED TO CORRECT HIS RULINGS ON THE SCOPE AND LIMITS OF THE ISSUES FILED AS THE REASON FOR THE HEARING.
- 2. THE COMMISSIONER IGNORED RESPONDANTS COMPELLING WRITTEN DOCUMENTATION AND VERBAL TESTIMONY PROVING AND CONFIRMING RESPONDANTS POSITION.
- 3. THE COMMISSIONER IGNORED RESPONDANTS PROOF OF PETITIONER'S LYING UNDER OATH AND PETITIONER'S PRODUCTION OF FRAUDULENT DOCUMENTATION IN RENDERING HIS DECISION.
- 4. THE COMMISSIONER DENIED ALL 3 OF RESPONDANTS APPEAL MOTIONS AND REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT WITHOUT ANY EXPLANATION WHATSOEVER, EVEN AFTER RESPONDANT PROVIDED THE PROOF IN #3 ABOVE.
- 5. THE COMMISSIONER REFUSED TO UPHOLD HIS COURT ORDER FOR THE PETITIONER TO SUPPLY THE COURT AND RESPONDANT WITH FINANCIAL AND OTHER SUPPORTING DOCUMANTATION THAT WAS PARAMOUNT TO RESPONDANTS CASE.
- 6. DUE TO AN EXCESSIVE AMOUNT OF TIME IT TOOK THE COMMISSIONER TO RESPOND TO RESPONDANTS APPEAL REQUEST, THE RESPONDANT WAS UNABLE TO PETITION THE COURT OF APPEALS. ON ONE APPEAL, AFTER CALLING THE COMMISSIONERS OFFICE QUESTIONING THE DELAY IN THE DECISION, I WAS TOLD NO DECISION HAD BEEN MADE AND AN HOUR LATER WAS TOLD IT WAS DENIED WITHOUT ANY EXPLANATION.
- 7. THIS MOTION IN ITSELF WOULD MAKE IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR THIS COMMISSIONER TO BE IMPARTIAL IN ANY FURTHER COURT HEARINGS INVOLVING THE RESPONDANT.

TWO い
I HAVE ENCLOSED ONE OF RESPONDANTS APPEALS DETAILING # 入5 ABOVE

Today's Date: Your Signature:

© SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA IN MARICOPA COUNTY November 7, 2007 All rights reserved GN10f USE CURRENT VERSION