

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 09-292

Complainant: No. 1377310192A

Judge: No. 1377310192B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised involve legal and procedural matters outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: January 20, 2010

FOR THE COMMISSION

 \s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed
to the complainant and the judge
on January 20, 2010

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

OCT 26 2009

Dear Sir

I have two separate complaints against two Maricopa County Superior Court Judges from Mesa, AZ.

First, in case CR 2005- SE with Judge presiding. The prosecutor admitted to the Judge and Jury that they cannot prove their case against me. So, a few minutes later when my attorney asked for a rule 20 motion to dismiss - it should've been granted. This is judicial prejudice. Plain & simple.

Instead I get convicted of Burglary for removing a few items out of a condo at the owners' request. I was arrested in Chicago and wanted me to retrieve his guns X-RATED videos of him and his girlfriend and a computer chip. I did so to keep his wife from getting her hands on this stuff.

C'mon, how many times do Burglars replace the lock and deadbolt? His wife had me arrested when I asked me to retrieve those items. Had I got to face the other alleged victim on the stand the charges would've been dropped. ITS my Constitutional Right to be able to face my ACCUSERS.

IN ANOTHER COMPLAINT. C/2006-

SE

AGAINST

She knowingly let

The prosecutor go ahead with court proceedings

against me when she knew that the evidence

was obtained illegally - with an invalid search

warrant.

Judicial Prejudice!

Thank-you

Please investigate these two
claims for me.

Thank-you