

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 09-295

---

Complainant: No. 1332510740A

Judge: No. 1332510740B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised involve legal and procedural matters outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: January 20, 2010

FOR THE COMMISSION

    /s\ Keith Stott      
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed  
to the complainant and the judge  
on January 20, 2010

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

submit This complaint to State Bar of Arizona fowling:

This Case the issue of bias in the sentence and Fraud Not error in the sentence .

## NO ONE IS ABOVE THE LAW

1- At 05 / 29 / 2009 Hon- denying [ Affidavit for Renewal of Judgment  
Was File by Owner of BECAUSE Is  
Not attorney .

2-HON say : the affidavit of renewal of the Judgment executed

By owner Ms. is effective .

HOWEVER Judge Refused To Answer Ms Objection or Ms

Letter To the Judge and explains To The Judge There Is mistake in decision Or

(even the Judges Make fraud Or bias in His decision .

HOWEVER there is No Protection for the Judges If :

- 1- they Make Fraud and Insisted upon .
- 2- They Make bias and Insisted upon .
- 3- They Takes Bribery and Insisted upon .
- 4- They ruled falsely to their relative and friends .

HOWEVER Plaintiff, Accuses defendant Judge biased and fraud and falsely .

## BASIC PROBLEM

Basic problem between two Judge's Orders :

1- HON Say : denying affidavit of Renewal of the Judgment

Because thunderbird Collection is Not attorney .

2- HON JOHN say : the affidavit of renewal of the Judgment executed

By owner Ms. is effective .

3- Nor Today The Judge don't give or sign Any Clear Order [ dose He

Granting Owner affidavit, of Renewal OR denying .

4- HOWEVER Owner Already Remove the Collection

Amount From Ms, cashbook Because Its was reported in error and deleted See attachment

HOWEVER : plaintiff, did review the rule ARS 12-1612 ( B ) provides that an affidavit

of renewal of Judgment must be executed by " the Judgment creditor, his personal

reprehensive or assignee " THIS Rule never say : Or by assignee agent , HOWEVER

owner His Not assignee , He is assignee agent

THERFOER plaintiff, did call more the then 22 attorney", ALL those attorneys Say :

That the HON is wrong No One Can file anything with the Court Only Two

person :

1- By personal representative .

2- By attorney [ assignee ] .

Defendant make a big bias and Fraud in the superior court of Arizona Case

No: CV 2003- As following .

1- 05 / 29 / 2009 Honorable denying Affidavit of

Renewal of Judgment Because His Not Attorney .

2- At 08/ 20 / 2009 defendants, Judge IGNORED and DESPISED and DENIED

Judge                      Minute Entry Without any comment or give any reason .

3- The defendant have Only 60 days to file his decision or opinion No More then two months , BUT the defendants, File his Minute Entry after 68 days Which is Untimely .

4- Defendants, don't Comments, On Plaintiff,    Response never at 06 / 12 / 2009 .

5- Defendant, Minute Entry At 08 / 20 / 2009 come from one side Only , Because This Minute Entry Talk Only about    and His attorney answer , AND he don't Say anything about Mr    Response Or For Judge                      Minute Entry at 05 / 29 /2009.

6- Defendant, Say : [ the affidavit of Renewal Must be executed by representative or assignee ] BUT He Forget That the ONLY WAY can assignee agent file affidavit of Renewal by attorney , NOT alone Because assignee is Not attorney, therefore That's why Judge                      denying                      affidavit of Renewal At 05 / 29 / 2009 .

HOWEVER : assignee agent CANT file anything with Court ONLY by attorney .

THEREFORE : Ms                      , challenge judge                      to give one affidavit of Renewal Was File by any Collection Company directly and ACCEPTED BY THE COURT.

THAT'S why plaintiff file this Case with District Court because there is Law dispute .

HOWEVER Since there is a difference in understanding and interpretation of the law has led to serious damage to Ms                      , From Judge                      who is working for state .

THEREFOER To the Plaintiff, the right to file this Case with This Court , Because the defendant representative of the state and abused the legal powers DELIBERATE and thought that the immunity would protect him from legal liability and can bias against any person for any benefit of another person and in the Law , especially as defendant refused

to comment on all the pleadings and documents was attachment by plaintiff, .

NOTE Immunity may prevent legal liability if he commits an error in the sentence BUT

Immunity dose not prevent legal liability if they committed a **bias in the provision or fraud.**

NOTE : If one goes to the immunity of the Judge and personal inclinations This means that we will leave the general law of the state and resort to personal law of the judge and This will lead us to the law of the jungle .

THEREFORE Ms                      Leave the satate Bar to take appropriate action in This Case .

Respectfully submitted <sup>26</sup>~~2~~ day of OCT 2009 .