State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 09-319		
Complainant:		No.	1379710837A
Judge:		No.	1379710837B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that the judge relied on improper evidence and erroneously calculated her income for child support purposes. The commission reviewed the complaint and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Moreover, the issues raised involve legal and procedural matters that fall outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: February 26, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 26, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC 09-319

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

_Judge's name:

12009 Date: 1131

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

On April 03 2009, Respondent'S Counsel: ATTORNEY and Enforcement of . Her Erson to trenge mu $\sim \sim$ INFORMATION 770 · DC m (۸) Δm 0000 (duces term) nena m that Attorne აიიი 05 mer CO(ONT mu ar 10.01 neu ner ronu 060 T was mor 170 Fo OVER 61 \sim У. <u>o</u>()-00 \sim and WITHOUT - M \ time. in respor 5

<u>T an not an Attorney, but wasted no time in responding</u> to Respondent's Potition, comprised by Attorney <u>I attached</u> all relevant information' to rebut her accusations and additionally

> (Attach additional sheets as needed) Pg. 1

CJC 09-319

filed a "Motion to Dismiss Respondent's Potition ..." Orders were later made; to answer my Motion, declaring that the Respondent's attachment of evidence (22 medical documents) were stricken from the record/File. I have attached the Orders made on August 26, 2009. However, the court failed to make Respondent's Petition "void" or "invalid" for the medical information contained within the context of the Petition, that council for Respondent, intended to disclose. So I filed a "Motion to Reconsider, or in the Alternative, Motion to correct a mistake and relief from an order or judgment. Unfortunately my lack of a legal degree. restricts my understanding and T. perceived It as an atternative for a Motion to Dismiss, based upon the Court's discretion and continued ability for Respondent's Petition; to be valid and existent within the file of Riddie court Becord I was understandably denied and when time for the expected October 19, 2009 hearing; come to be heard before the Honorable. F. was souched, perdexed and confused to the attane of the hearing to the called her client: Attorney stand to offer Fistimony for his case. However, Attorney inquired into subject matter that the Honorable <u>previous</u> striked from the record. I requested if I could notion to strike the so comments from the record, because her thonor ordured the documents stricten from the RIDED. I was DENTED! The Attorney ARGUED that my modical information and the Honorable COULD BE DISCUSSED. Had I provided the correct statutes that protect my rights; perhaps I wouldn't have been cornered However, since I'm not an Attorney, I wasn't prepared for the relevance & importance of the statutes. I was humiliate upset and attacked against my right to protect my CONFIDENTIAL medical intormation from further discussion took full advantage of the situation and Attorney I was so but by the contradiction of actions made by conduct the entire · Attorney the Honoralde bearing was unethical and unprofessional, yet, the Honoralde NEVER said any warning to her. yt I was warned three times. openly admitted to "not knowing The Honorable anything ABOUT TRUST FUNDS or MEDILAL DISCLOSUBE." This is apparent when acknowledging her final order for Respondent's Potition. Trust "income" from a discretionary trust - cash \$1,000.00 and bills sent by creditors and paid by trust to creditors' for benefit of living expenses: OVER \$3,000.00. I provided

CJC 09-319

•

all disclosure relevant to my income that totaled \$620.00 from trust
" Allowance", which is not quaranteed and 6275.00 from Vanguard Dividends and
\$600. " from John Han (ock.) Which John Hancock and Vanguard Dividends
were fare guaranteed only worth my 25th birthday. March de, 1985) Yet_
The tonorable Tote found my name consistent only to
prosume it applied to my trust find, which is not quaranteed within
a "discretionary" capacity-
The only a policarde incomets count as income is my investment
dividuathat totals \$975.00/month. My trust paying for my living
expenses because I do not quality for state benefits below's of
the existence of the Revocable Trust, is not
within applicability for an Order to favor my former-spouse for
\$693.00
At the time that the Honorable calculate the
amount of my income; I was experiencing extreme pain and this was
evident of T sort beside the Tudge, I was visible upset. In controlla
and reference such pain to my back. The never acked me if I had any
other available trust income, just it that was my ONLY income tom
my trust fund. I was in Dain and was in need of a break to
reading my compositive. However, I was too upset with the depravation
of my rights and couldn't ask for a break.
with the time too close to the closing of court, I felt
pressured. Regardless, of the confusion of the heaving, I heard
the subre doulare A CO3 and I asked the Judge: "how an
T & noosed to eat: Her response was I don't know.
T felt upset and cornered. I looked at her in disbeliet
and she started tarking again and said to me: "I'm following
the child suppor worksheet " and she stand quiet. Her orders
continued and I was showed to hear that I now was ALSO
ordered to pay 69% and former spouse 31%. of uncovered
modical expenses. It used to be, 50% - 50%. L're already
poid for 1007 - and beginning in the divorce detree; when
my famer spouse and a propertionate share and coverage.
My ex-husband never baid support when I had
custody. I never saw the last three years of his taxes
because the information contained within them, will prove
that he intentionally withheld the interpretion.
I recently received where that devied my motion
to correct a mistake and relief from a judgment or order
because "the court pould not determine" what mistake
I wanted to be corrected. However, the motion filed clearly
offers relevant discussion of the clerical mistake that

CJC 09-319

dearly requested the court to convert. I wanted my rights I wanted "ORDERS" that took my medica reinstated information. at of the record file. I never authorites for their release. The medical information described adesot show the situations; For what they were tried to commit suicide. No - he cause USing drugs or drinking; but be cause T was being assaulted Saily. Be cause my "domestic partner sviena (or bour MAS committing DOMESTIC VIOLENCE. upsit because, mu medical information is being exposed and (ounsel for Bospondent illegally obto records because. 13MC unlawfully dife YRMC denies the disclosure ard xex 1585 recover the vectorias distunded and $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{M}$ situation in itself but an more go unable to cave put my farth and trust in the legal system, because when you are innocent, you are not supposed , you should be purished for the you are avitty ona punishment should fit the crime was subjected to humiliation, denied my rights Attorner was aronted Dermission outright have me in open court. The court had an obligation, a duty, and took on oath to preserve my treat ME like a United States (Hiten Bot this court's judgment in my eyes; has been clouded with the unlawful disclosure of my medical records I'm handicapped, receive only income investment dividends and \$620.00 For trust allowance. I only have \$124,000.00 to last me; if I reach the age requirement of 35, pursuant to a final distribution and ownership of the trust. Written by: 10/31/2009

Pg.4