

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-006

Complainant: No. 1382610306A

Judge: No. 1382610306B

ORDER

The complainant alleged the judge failed to close a superior court case in Arizona involving a child support matter that was ongoing in another state and failed to contact the other court to obtain information about his case. The commission reviewed the complaint and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. The court was not obligated to initiate communication with the court in another state, and the judge's decision not to close the Arizona case involves a legal issue that is outside the jurisdiction of the commission. The commission is not a court and cannot change court rulings. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16 (b) and 23 (b).

Dated: March 8, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

 /s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 8, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2010-006

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____

Judge's name: _____

Date: 12/06/09

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint. *(Attach additional sheets as needed)*

From February 2009 to date, Judge _____ and the Arizona Accountability
Court has not initiated any communication with Nevada's Family Court in regards to any
statements or comments that I have expressed to Accountability Court in all open court
hearings to validate the validity of my comments regarding case FC2008-_____ . At no
time during the duration of this case being in Arizona, have I been allowed to enter any
factually documents or information that is of great importance to effectively proceed with
this case while in Arizona's Courts. The case was initially registered incompletely by
Attorney _____ and his client Mrs. _____ Once this court was
informed by the District Attorney's office in Clark County Nevada, that this case was
opened and was actively being enforced by Nevada, Judge _____ should have
closed this case in Arizona effective immediately. By not closing this case or contacting
the Family Court in Nevada for the true facts and status of this case, Judge
_____ continues to proceed with the case without all factual documentation and
information regarding this case. My family and I have been harassed, by Mrs.
_____ and her Attorney _____ and Judge _____ in Accountability
Court by pursuing a large purge amounts and continued incarceration of myself for not

being able to comply with an order that has been modified in Arizona's Courts without jurisdiction to do so in regards to this foreign order. Clark Count Family Court in Nevada is the home state for this case, R is the controlling order so ordered by the Nevada Court. I have expressed this fact repeatedly in open court for the duration that this case has been in Arizona's Accountability Court.

In the Rule of the Commission on Judicial Conduct the Preamble states: An independent, fair and competent judiciary being one of the cornerstones of our legal system, judges must respect and honor their judicial office as a public trust. To preserve the integrity of the judiciary and to enhance public confidence in the judicial system; Judge

found out that there was an order placed in the state of Nevada, and asked Attorney why this was not submitted and he could not answer. Judge gave him more than enough chances and yet Attorney still has not brought the controlling order R from the state of Nevada to her courtroom. Attorney and his client has not been reprimanded for the fraudulent charges that were made against me. Judge did not discipline the counsel or the counsel's client for stating that Mrs. has been looking for me when the state of Nevada already had been garnishing my pay check for over 6 years for child support, medical and arrears.

Attached is the complaint letter that has been submitted too many different Agencies and media over the month and the response letter to the Court. Since my family and I can not afford two retaining legal counsel in two states I feel my comments, remarks and statements in Accountability Court fall on deaf ears, which is prejudice against my family's financial status.