

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-012

Complainant: No. 1383210687A

Judge: No. 1383210687B

ORDER

The complainant alleged the judge was rude and refused to accept part of his evidence. The commission reviewed the complaint and the recording of the hearing and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23.

Dated: March 17, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 17, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

On January 6, I had a court case in which I was personally discriminated against by Judge . She allowed a restraining order against me to be carried out on the basis that I was looking out through my own window, the only truth in the plaintiff's argument. It was evident that the plaintiff even contradicted her own statements, written down in the restraining order, with her verbal arguments against me in court. The only things she said that were true were; that I was looking through the window of my own house, and that I called the police twice about her barking dogs (a right that I have, not something illegal).

The false statements that the plaintiff made were:

- That I told her "I don't want to have to shoot anyone" (something the plaintiff herself proved wrong when she told the judge in the courtroom that I had said "I don't want to have to shoot your dog," as it was attacking me in **my own property** and if I had to protect myself, I would use a firearm against it.)
- That I filled a false report of her "10 or more horses" (I have never filled any reports about her horses, which can be proven)
- That I contacted the GDP multiple times about her dogs (I only contacted them twice)
- That I was contacted by the GDP for multiple complaints and called their household calling out profanities against the plaintiff (I have never been contacted by the GDP for multiple complaints. The only time that I called their household, was when I redialed their number after my life was verbally threatened by someone who did not introduce themselves in the previous phone call, and hung up before I could ask.)

Ever since the plaintiff and her roommate started renting the house right by ours, my family has been discriminated against and harassed multiple times. They have been stalking me and gathering information about who I am, something they accused me of doing by looking out my own window. Before the court case, I did not even know the names of the plaintiff or anyone in her household, nor anything about them, and I don't understand how looking at my own property through my window could be considered stalking. It is not even the plaintiff that my family and I have been harassed by, but her roommate, who did not speak in the court case, and was not covered by the restraining order. The plaintiff's roommate called my wife over to her fence a few months ago, and started harassing us about our nationality and calling her a Muslim. As no one in our family is a

Muslim, my wife found her remarks very hurtful, but did not participate in the neighbors' irrational behavior. The plaintiff's roommate also called my house (same phone call, as the one stated above) and when I picked up the phone, without introducing herself, started threatening me with the IRS, homeland security, and a bankruptcy court, and accused me of hitting her dog with my car. Her dog is the one that jumped over their fence, ran into the road, and T-boned my car. I continued to be harassed in court with threats of unfilled and unpaid taxes, which were false, as the judge nonchalantly looked on, even when I said "Your Honor, I'm being harassed even in the courtroom".

I had lots of proof against the plaintiff's remarks, half of which the judge would not let me present. Along with proof, in pictures and documents, I also had witnesses, and letters from my neighbors confirming that my statements against the plaintiff were true. I asked the judge multiple times if the witnesses could come up to testify, but the judge rudely declined each time, telling me that she "didn't care". My wife even informed me after the case that one of the witnesses raised her hand numerous times, and the judge just ignored her. While I was stating my argument the judge was very callous towards me and uncaring of what I had to say. On the contrary, she gladly listened to everything the plaintiff had to say without interrupting her even once, something she did to me numerous times. I feel that I was discriminated against as a male and European American. My wife even agreed that the judge may have been a feminist, thus releasing her sexist behavior against me.

Me and my family are greatly undignified by the decision of Judge who reinstated an injunction against me upon false testimony that the plaintiff signed under perjury, regardless of the fact that we had inevitable proof, and witnesses that were ready to testify that she was lying. As a proof of how indifferent to my testimony the judge was is the misspelling of my legal name and leaving my description with wrong hair color, as described by the plaintiff. My hair is dark black and the restraining order is against a person with brown hair. This was an argument that I made proving that the plaintiff has never even seen me up close, to which Judge obviously didn't attend like the rest of my speech.

Jan -09-2010