

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 10-073

---

Complainant: No. 1388610627A

Judge: No. 1388610627B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a hearing officer cut him off while he was presenting evidence to support his case. The commission reviewed the complaint and the recording of the hearing and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the hearing officer. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 15, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

\_\_\_\_\_  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 15, 2010.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

2010-073

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: E.

Judge's name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 3/8

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed. Please describe in your own words what the judge said or did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help us understand your concern, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places where the conduct occurred. Include only copies of original documents or court recordings that are relevant to your allegations. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

IT ALL STARTED WHEN HE TRIED TO LABEL ME AS "TARDY", BECAUSE I WAS WAITING IN THE HALL, AS THERE WAS OTHER PEOPLE IN THE ROOM. WHEN THE JUNIOR DEFENDANT SHOWED UP, IT WAS AROUND 15 MINUTES LATER. ON THAT NOTE, YOUR NOT-QUITE-JUDICIAL-STATUS HELP HAS ALOT OF YOU-KNOW-WHATS. HE SAW ME + SAID I WAS THERE "MANY TIMES BEFORE"? ON THE ONE WHERE HE DID RULE ON MY SIDE, THE DEFENDANT COMPANY WENT OUT OF BUSINESS = YOUR HELP (FOR ALL I KNOW) ALREADY KNEW THIS, AS I HEAR YOUR HELP ROUTINELY? RESEARCH THE PARTIES INVOLVED. WITH THE 3/8 DEAL, HE SUSPECTS THAT WHENEVER YOU TAKE YOUR CAR TO REPAIR SHOP, + IT COMES ~~OUT~~ <sup>OUT</sup> RUNNING, THAT YOU PAY ACCORDING. WHAT HE DOES NOT KNOW, IS THAT WHEN THE REPAIRS ARE MORE THAN YOU NEED, YOU ARE BEING "RIPPED OFF". WHEN I WANTED TO SHOW PROOF THAT THEIR REPLACED PARTS WERE NOT NEEDED, BY SHOWING HIM THEIR PARTS WERE IN MY HAND, AND MY PICKUP WAS STILL RUNNING, HE DID NOT WANT TO BELIEVE IT. HE CAME BACK WITH SOME WIMPY RESPONSE THAT THE REPAIR COULD ~~BE~~ "GUARANTEE" THE PARTS WERE NOT NEEDED. <sup>NOT</sup>

YOUR HELP HEARD ME WHEN I SAID I WOULD GET MY MONIES WORTH. VERY ODD, AS HE DID NOT HEAR ANYTHING ELSE I SAID, FOR WHAT LITTLE I DID SAY (BASED ON HIM CUTTING ME OFF)

I SUSPECT THAT IF YOUR HELP WAS TOLD THAT HE IF HAD A FLAT, & THE TIRE DEALER TOLD HIM THE BEST WAY TO GO WOULD BE A NEW SET OF TIRES, HE WOULD GO ALONG WITH IT.

IF WHOEVER READS THIS, ALONG WITH YOUR HELP, DOES NOT UNDERSTAND THIS, THEN YOU BOTH NEED SOME EDUCATION ON WHEN TO TELL WHAT IS WORTH PAYING FOR, AND WHAT YOU ARE BEING TAKEN FOR.

ALMOST FORGOT: WHEN I TOLD MECHANIC WITH <sup>THE</sup> THE KNOWLEDGE-IN-QUESTION, WHERE ~~TO~~ START LOOKING, HE ~~HE~~ DECIDED TO USE THAT ADVICE TO MAKE IT A LOW PRIORITY. TURNS OUT THAT MY ADVICE WAS THE RIGHT ANSWER.