

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-114

Complainant: No. 1392200311A

Judge: No. 1392200311A

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to disclose a previous working relationship with a party to his lawsuit and issued an erroneous ruling. After analyzing the allegations and the judge's response, the commission found no evidence of any conflicts that would require disqualification of the judge. Whether the judge ruled correctly is a legal issue for a higher court to determine. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 21, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 21, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2010-114

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____ Judge's name: _____ Date: 5-4-2010

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed. Please describe in your own words what the judge said or did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help us understand your concern, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places where the conduct occurred. Include only copies of original documents or court recordings that are relevant to your allegations. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

THIS JUDGE IS TOTALLY BIAS AGAINST OUR CASE. HE HAD PRIOR BUSINESS DEALINGS WITH THE PLAINTIFF AND DID NOT DISCLOSE THAT FACT. HE ALSO WAS THE JUDGE IN THE PLAINTIFFS DIVERCE CASE AND DID NOT DISCLOSE THAT ALSO.

THE JUDGE HAS REWRITTEN A TRUST AGREEMENT THAT WAS NOT SUBJECT TO THE LAW SUIT, MAKING RULINGS FAVORABLE TO THE PLAINTIFF. FOR EXAMPLE; THE TRUST HAS A SPECIFIC METHOD OF PAYMENT, FOR PROPERTIES, IN THE TRUST. THE PAYMENT MUST BE IN CASH OR SOME FORM OF CASH TO THE FIRST BENEFICIAL INTEREST IN THE TRUST. THE JUDGE RULED THAT FIRST BENEFICIARY MUST CHASE AN INSOLVENT LLC FOR PAYMENT AND NOT GET MONIES FROM THE ~~FIRST~~ SECOND BENEFICIARY. REWRITING THE TRUST. OTHER RULINGS AGAINST 219 LLC WHICH SHOWS EXTREME BIAS ARE (1) NO ENDING DATE ON THE TRUST (A PERPETUAL CONTRACT) (2) A NON PARTY TO THE LAW SUIT LOSES THEIR INTEREST IN THEIR PROPERTY IN THE TRUST (3) A VERBAL CONVERSATION IN 1999 DETERMINES THE PURCHASE PRICE IN TODAYS MARKET. ALL RULINGS FAVOR THE PLAINTIFF, 219 LLC WAS NOT A CONTRACTUAL PARTY TO THE PLAINTIFFS BREACH OF CONTRACT ACTION YET THE JUDGES RULINGS DESTROY 219 LLC RIGHTS AS FIRST BENEFICIARY UNDER THE TRUST JUDGE _____ HAS DELIBERTLY DESTROYED RIGHTS UNDER A DOCUMENT THAT WAS NEVER INCLUDED IN ANY LAW SUIT HE HAS WILLFULLY ATTRACTED AN INNOCENT PARTY FOR THE BENEFIT OFF HIS FAVORITE PLAINTIFF. JUDGE _____ HAS FINANCIALLY DESTROYED MANY FOR THE BENEFIT OF OTHERS.

SEE ATTACHED LETTERS TO JUDGE

(Attach additional sheets as needed)