

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-167

Complainant: No. 1396300221A

Judge: No. 1396300221B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a justice of the peace was biased and failed to give her evidence sufficient weight. The commission reviewed the allegations and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Just because the complainant disagreed with the judge's legal rulings does not render the judge biased. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 27, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 27, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2010-167

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

____ Judge's name:

Date: 6-28-10

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed. Please describe in your own words what the judge said or did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help us understand your concern, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places where the conduct occurred. Include only copies of original documents or court recordings that are relevant to your allegations. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

I, as the defendant, attended the hearing of the case
CC on Monday June 28, 2010 at Maricopa
County Justice Courts. The Justice of the Peace was
, whom I believe violated Code of Judicial Conduct
3: A judge shall perform the duties of judicial office
impartially and diligently.

During the hearing, he gave the plaintiff sufficient time to
finish her accusation, while not allowing me to complete my
statement that the fraudulent teacher information coaxed us
into signing the contract. He ignored the fact that a teacher's
competency ultimately ensured students' safety and educational
quality. He made the verdict under his subjective assumption
that we should be able to find out the teacher related
information before we signed the contract.

Throughout the process, Judge _____ didn't adopt
any of our proofs of the other party's violation of legal
documents (Arizona Regulations for Childcare Facilities). He
didn't make the fair judgment with the fact that the
contract should be a two-way commitment. Once again,
he made the verdict under his personal preference what
regulations were more important than the others instead of
legal evidence.

In conclusion, Judge _____ was biased by refusing to
see my supporting document regarding fraudulent teacher information
and not allowing me to develop the decisive factors of the case
that could affect the final verdict.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)