

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-205

Complainant: No. 1108410669A

Judge: No. 1108410669B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge made improper evidentiary rulings and comments regarding the evidence. The commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Evidentiary rulings are within the discretion of the judge and outside the jurisdiction of the commission. It is not unethical for a judge to make comments on sentencing. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 16, 2010

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 16, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

AUG 12 2010

August 10, 2010

Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re: Judge

This is a complaint against judge _____ Maricopa County Justice System, 620 W.
Jackson St, #1038, Phoenix, AZ 85003.

On 8/10/10 I had a hearing in front of this judge pertaining to photo enforcement tickets. Despite the fact that no ticket was served or mailed to me, _____ made a decision against me. During the hearing, _____ interrupted my questioning and testimony several times claiming that "you are out of order when you asked questions that appear to be repetitive". I then informed him that "I am not an attorney" so I am trying my best to ask relevant questions and raise relevant objections. After _____ made his baseless decision, when I asked to appeal his decision, he attempted to give me a form that provided appeal instructions but also required my signature at the bottom. I informed him that I never sign something I have not read and would like to take time to read it before signing it and he then took the appeal instructions form away from me and said "I will just put down in the case file that you REFUSED appeal instructions." I therefore did not receive appeal instructions. When I asked again for appeal instructions, _____ told me "You should already know them". I am not sure why _____ claims that I should already know appeal instructions as _____ was already aware that I am not an attorney and have no legal training. _____ was inappropriate and rude and interfered with my appeal of his baseless decision.