

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 10-210

---

Complainant: No. 1282210423A

Judge: No. 1282210423B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge failed to take action to protect his rights. The commission reviewed the complaint and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The complainant's case was decided by an arbitrator over whom the commission has no jurisdiction. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 24, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 24, 2010.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**VIOLATION OF HIPPA (FEDERAL) and SUBPOENA PROCESS  
(ARCP 12-2294.01) ATTACHMENT**

1. I, \_\_\_\_\_, was the in pro se Plaintiff, and C. Andrew Campbell was the Defendants' attorney in a civil Lawsuit in Phoenix, Arizona from 2008 onward (case no. CV2008-\_\_\_\_\_). The Arbitrator, who was involved in this matter, was a licensed attorney by the name of Georgia A. Staton.
2. On 3/23/2009 CAMPBELL issued a subpoena for my Medical Records to my Physician (Lawrence Kramer M.D.) Included with the subpoena was a letter detailing the billing process for the copying charges. There was no signed release for the releasing of this information to him. I was never contacted to sign a release of the information nor was I given a copy of the subpoena when it was issued/sent. This whole matter was done in order to cause me harm. CAMPBELL always knew, as a licensed attorney, that he had no right to send the subpoena without noticing me and/or my permission and/or a COURT ORDER signed by a Judge. The whole process violated the Laws of the STATE Arizona; and the FEDERAL ACT regarding same.
3. Federal law, HIPPA, restricts the use of acquiring medical information without a party's consent; and so does Arizona Law under ARCP 12-2294.01. The process that CAMPBELL used, simply, violated LAW and my rights under same.
4. The subpoena was issued to Dr. Kramer's office, which is a Medicare/Medicaid practice; and there is/was little to no legal knowledge of any subpoena process in the office. I have just made him aware that he should contact his attorney and verify what I have told him-e.g. you cannot issue medical information about any patient, based upon a subpoena, without having the patient sign a release for same and/or a Judge issuing an order requiring same.
5. Just after 4/20/09 CAMPBELL sent me an updated disclosure statement which included the subpoena, letter and my medical records dating back to 2003. I contacted the COURT to control CAMPBELL for this, and other matters, as he was outside of the realm of functioning as an attorney in this case. And his actions were causing me harm. The COURT never ruled on this issue.
6. On 7/14/09 CAMPBELL conducted a deposition involving me. I asked him why he violated the LAW in acquiring my medical information; and he stated that he only issued a subpoena; and Dr. Kramer always had the right to refuse to answer it. I also asked why he never noticed me with the subpoena when it was issued and I got a smirk as a reply (e.g. I am a very clever person and I can get away with anything type of smile). In other words he knew that he was doing wrong when he did it, and he did anyways, because he could. All of this is on the records in the deposition.
7. I kept waiting for the COURT to intercede in this matter. In September of 2009 I tried to remove this case to District COURT, so that I could get some rulings on this, and other matters. This was denied for lack of jurisdiction; and returned to the LOWER COURT.
8. The LOWER COURT wanted an Arbitrator to hear this case. The Arbitrator was STATON. STATON noticed the parties on 1/20/10 that she would hold a hearing on 2/26/10 at her offices. On 2/26/10 she refused to rule on anything; as there

were too many dispositive motions in this case, barring her by LAW from ruling. On 4/21/10 the COURT ordered STATON to rule; and on 4/23/10 the COURT send two of CAMPBELL'S dispositive motions for STATON to rule on. On 5/5/10 the COURT ordered STATON to rule on only one of the dispositive motions. On 6/11/10 STATON rules in favor of CAMPBELL. ON 7/1/10 the COURT wants STATON to award damages on her ruling before I can file my Appeal. ON 7/5/10 CAMPBELL applies for an award of \$25, 0000.00 apprx., which included fees, costs et al involving the subpoena which violated my rights under STATE and FEDERAL LAW; and I opposed same. STATION did not consider the opposing argument and/or wait for a reply from CAMPBELL; and she simply rewarded CAMPBELL for violating the LAWS of this NATION and STATE on 7/13/10. And therein lays her liability at this time. One cannot have Arbitrators AWARD DAMAGES FOR A PERSON TO BREAK THE LAW.

9. Hopefully one can easily see why I took so long in noticing outside parties as to the violation of my Civil Rights. I was involved in complex litigation and expected the COURTS to protect me from CAMPBELL. They simply failed. This herein complaint is timely.
10. One cannot have a legal system where attorneys can break the LAW, and be rewarded by same, by the COURTS. I pray that STATON and CAMPBELL will be properly sanctioned for their actions. And be barred in the future from doing such acts which violate any person's Constitutional Rights.

I herby state, under the penalty of perjury, that the forgoing ~~is~~ true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

8/20/10.