

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 10-256

---

Complainant: No. 1402410638A

Judge: No. 1402410638B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge granted a continuance and waived time for speedy trial purposes over his objections. The commission reviewed the matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct. The judge acted within her discretion in granting the continuance and there is no reason to believe the decision was ethically improper because the request to continue came from defense counsel. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 9, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

\_\_\_\_\_  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 9, 2010.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2010-256

OCT 06 2010

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name

Judge's Name:

Date: 10-3-10

**Instructions:** Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

ON August 12th 2010 granted my Counselor  
HARLA DAVISON A MOTION to continue for 60 days violating  
My Right to A fast and Speedy TRIAL under Rule 8.5B  
At No time did she ASK for my consent to continue  
Nor did she have a good reason to grant motion My  
codefendant lawyer put in the motion for 60 days but  
I did NOT at anytime consent or had any knowledge  
of a continuance I have a right to be heard she  
didnt have my consent to do that I mean whatever  
my codefendants Lawyer Asked for should not have  
affected me at all I dont think she was considerate  
of my rights to A fast AND speedy TRIAL if she  
was concerned she should of inquired how I felt  
A Judge is supposed to be fair. I didnt Agree  
on record or off record to this craziness.

MS. is in violation of code judicial conduct  
C'ANON 3 #7 Says A Judge shall accord to every person  
who has a legal intrest in a proceeding I dont care  
what my lawyer requested, Judge should ask if I  
Agreed to that.

My Lawyer was written up to the  
BAR AS well.

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)