

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-277

Complainant: No. 1403510426A

Judge: No. 1403510426B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge made incorrect rulings. The commission reviewed the complaint and found no specific allegation of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Moreover, the commission is not a court and cannot change judicial decisions. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 10, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 10, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

DEFENDANT WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW JUDGE WOULD HAVE ALL OF THE FOLLOWING EVIDENCE MAKE A DECISION WHEN SHE HAS NOT EVEN EXAMINED FACTS OR THE COMPLAINT FILED IN THE CHANGE OF COUNSEL ATTACH 3 PAGES MEMORANDUM STATING THE SPECIAL FACTUAL GROUND TO SUPPORT ALL THE FOLLOWING CLAIMS TO THE ISSUES IN REGARDS TO THE COMPLAINT FILED TO THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA SEPT, 24, 2010

AUGUST 20, 2010 IN HONORABLE BARBARA L. SPENCER COURT ROOM MY OWN ATTORNEY ROGER T. MARGOLIS THAT HE WORKS IN SCOTTSDALE AND THIS CASE INVOLVES SCOTTSDALE POLICE OFFICER ALONG WITH THE MEDIA AND PRESS AND TELLS ME HOW COULD YOU RECEIVE A FAIR TRIAL WHEN YOU ARE BEING ACCUSED OF SHOOTING A SALT RIVER PIMA MARICOPA INDIAN COMMUNITY RESIDENT MAN HELD IN A WOMAN SHOOTING AND THE POLICE OFFICERS ARE GOING TO DO IS USE MISLEADING FALSE INFORMATION BY FABRICATING THERE STORIES WERE IN CAHOOTS TO MALICIOUSLY USE MY CRIMINAL RECORD ALONG WITH PERJURY BECAUSE THERE NO EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THE CLAIM TO ESTABLISH THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS THE SHOOTER OR PROBABLE CAUSE EXSIST TO SUPPORT THE CLAIM OF THE FOLLOWING INCIDENT SO MY ATTORNEY TELL ME TO PLED GUILTY TO CRIME I DIDNT COMMIT ITS JUST LIKE THE COWBOY AND INDIANS WHO WON THE WAR AND IT VERY CLEAR IN REGARDS TO HIS OWN ACTIONS AND AUTHORITY ALLEGES DISCRIMINATION, HATE STEROTYPE AND PERSONAL ATTACK ON THE NATIVE AMERICAN INDIAN COMMUNITY

2010-277

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

AUGUST 20, 2010 ROGER T. MARGOLIS HAS NO RIGHT TO MISUSE AND ABUSE HIS AUTHORITY AS MY OWNLY DEFENSE JUSTICE THAT VALUES THE DIGNITY AND WORTH OF EVERY HUMAN BEING HAS BURDEN OF RACIAL DISCRIMINATION ITS SO SAD HOW MUCH PAIN A PERSON HAS TO GO THROUGH JUST IN REGARDS TO NOT HAVING A EFFECTIVE ATTORNEY.

AUGUST 20, 2010 MY ATTORNEY ROGER T. MARGOLIS TELLS MY GIRLFRIEND THAT SHE IS NOT GOING TO SEE ME FOR MILLION YEARS IF I DO NOT SIGN THE PLEA-BARGAIN NOW WHY WOULD MY ATTORNEY MAKE STATEMENTS ABOUT HIS CLIENT CASE THAT WOULD DESTROY MY RELATIONSHIP ITS VERY CLEAR THAT ITS NOT POSSIBLE FOR SAID DEFENDANT MARTINEZ TO HAVE A FAIR CHANCE WITH THIS CASE NOR AT TRIAL WITH AN INEFFECTIVE ATTORNEY THEREFORE THE DEFENDANT IS RESPECTFULLY REQUESTING TO CHANGE COUNSEL AND MY ATTORNEY ROGER T. MARGOLIS WITH-DRAW FROM THIS CASE NEW COUNSEL OUT-SIDE OF SCOTTSDALE AREA BE SUBSTITUTED AS MY ATTORNEY IN ALL FUTURE PROCEEDING IN THE COURT.

OCT 18, 2010 MY ATTORNEY ROGER T MARGOLIS INFORM ME THAT HIS EXACT WORDS AFTER THE JUDGE DID NOT ASSIGN ME A NEW LAWYER I GUESS YOU LOST AGAIN AND TELLS ME THAT MY GIRLFRIEND WASN'T ALL THAT AND LAUGH AND SAY'S WHAT'S THE BIG DEAL THERE MORE FISH IN SEA I CANT BELIEVE THIS ATTORNEY IS SO ARROGANT AND IS CREATING A CONFLICT OF INTEREST.