

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-282

Complainant: No. 1397510426A

Judge: No. 1397510426B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge improperly ordered a mental health evaluation that unfairly delayed his case and violated his rights by removing him from the courtroom. The commission reviewed the matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Court decisions are not subject to review by the commission. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 28, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 28, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Full Disclosure will be the first thing I talk about in this Complaint. My ^{ur} propose for this Complaint is not to see will you sanction a member of your Societies, because we both know that would be a waste of time. Therefore, this complaint will be used for exhibit ^{ur} Proposes, in U.S. Courts.

has succeeded where Alchemy failed. She has ^{done} made the impossible. Or is it an illusion? She will not follow her own company Laws, Regulation, guideline and the U.S Constitution is just a bad joke in her eyes. Just as Patrick Rattigan ND would say, "Corruption is not the problem. It's the acceptance of corruption that's problem."

So, by me, the one making this complaint, I will say, "Look! Enough is enough! This cannot go on forever. There has to be a cut off and this is it."

On September 20, 2010 ^{an} made ^{an} abound of unlawful orders. Starting by having me removed from the de facto court room. For the fact that I claim^d my inalienable Human Rights to common Law Jurisdiction, And advising her that a notice is not a motion. See exhibit(A).

Next, my pro-per Status was revoked due to the fact that I am not cognizable to your Corporation, legislated Rule or Statute.

Personally, I was never asked whether or not I wanted to join. I don't, therefore, consider I have joined, whatever anyone else may think. I consider I have been thoroughly deceived throughout my entire life. I don't need to submit my resignation, because I was only joined as a member on someone else's assumptions in the first place. And that doesn't count, as far as I'm concerned.

Upon revoking the pro-per status, that side kicked the U.S. Constitution in the pit of Hell." then thought to her-^{one word}self, I will flex by law (which is not ^{worth} wealth the paper of it's enactment) to force the statue upon men. Who do^s he think he is? He's just a ^{officer} ward of the court, he's just talking out the side of his neck."

then appointing Robyn Varcoe, after Varcoe stated he will not participate in the trial. Again Force^d me to trial without Satisfying Jurisdiction.

What happen^{ed} on June 19 1865? Do^s the word Emancipation ring a bell? I am sorry for disrespecting the world of legalese. I am sure its hard living a life of fiction.

2010-282

violated Rule 8 of your Rule by making
A Order for the Chief Justice under extraordinary
circumstance, by suspending Rule of P.R.S as Pleasid.
See exhibit(A)

This is a prime example of why it should be
unlawful for this great Nation to allow a Defacto
Court to operate bylaw to infringe ^{upon the} rights of
the people.

On October 12, 2010, four days according Exhibit (B)
from the Last Day dated on the arraignment
paper I was summaried to court just to delay
time and Force Rule 11 upon me to stop the
clock.

Therefore, do as you please about this matter
it time for me to hold class on common Law
My first Question is "Are you ready for the
Red Pill?"

P.S. I have behaved honourably. You have behaved dishonourably and
disreputably. Therefore, he who dishonors loses, according to Law.