

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-312

Complainant: No. 1404810741A

Judge: No. 1404810741B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge improperly denied his request to suppress statements he made to the arresting officer in his case. The commission found no misconduct on the part of the judge. The allegation involves a legal issue that can be raised on appeal. The commission is not a court and cannot change court decisions. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: January 24, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on January 24, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2010-312

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name:

Judge's Name

Date: 11/10/10

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

Judge errored in her judgement not
to suppress statements made by myself to arresting
officer prior to being mirandized. At the
"motion to suppress hearing", Judge
ruled my statements were voluntary after
Officer Beaver testified he had not mirandized
me until after he had asked me the questions which
brought forth statements that were later used
to convict me. Judge ruled the statements
to be voluntary which was a total and blatant
disregard of my constitutional rights.

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)