

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-328

Complainant: No. 1393010263A

Judge: No. 1393010263B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that two municipal court judges intentionally caused his motions to dismiss and motion to continue to be lost, misplaced, hidden, or destroyed. After analyzing the issues, the commission found no evidence of misconduct on the part of either judge. A hearing was held within 60 days, and the judge assigned to the case found the complainant responsible and thereby denied the motions. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 15, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 15, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

DEC 09 2010

Complaint

December 8, 2010

Keith Stott Jr.
Commission on Judicial Misconduct
1501 W. Washington Street Suite-229
Phoenix, AZ 85007

I would to file a complaint of Judicial Misconduct by two City of Tucson City Court Justices, Head Administrative Judge [redacted] who is responsible for making sure that all Motions are received to their destination, and Judge [redacted] that hid my first Motion on his desk as indicated by Public Service Clerk Cindy "S" who made the statement that my 1st Motion was still on Judge [redacted] desk.

On February 19, 2010 I went down to City of Tucson City Court to establish what had happened to my 1st Motion I filed on January 28, 2010, the day after I was served with several Land Use Code Violations.

It was a Motion to Dismiss my case. A Public Service Clerk by the name of Cindy "S" looked on her computer, and found that my case was due to be heard by Judge [redacted]. I explained that I was do to be in City Court on February 22, 2010, and I had not yet been notified of the status of my Motion.

On the document I got Cindy to document, she showed me that the First Motion I filed was still on Judge [redacted] desk awaiting his decision of whether or not to Dismiss my case or hold me over for trial.

My second Motion was a Motion to Continue, because I alleged that Mayor and Council had voted in 2002 to turn Solid Waste Management into City of Tucson Neighborhood Services and City of Tucson Code Enforcement Division. Also in 2002 Mayor and Council voted to cut every ones trash pick up from twice a week to once a week. Which meant that the City of Tucson had created a Blythe in the neighborhoods, only so they could fine everyone up to \$2500 per infraction or violation. I stated that I had filed a complaint to the State Attorney Generals Office, and I needed continence so the results of the State Attorney Generals Office could conclude their investigation into this fraudulent scheme.

My third Motion was to Dismiss my case, I stated that the Code Infractions Violated my 1st and 14 Amendment Civil Rights Under The Constitution of The

United States. I Cited Bery v. New York City as a case that was decided and won using the Constitution of The United States. This case states that artists have full 1st and 14 Amendment protection Under the Constitution of the United States.

Enclosed below is the documents that support my claim. One of them is a document that I received from Ms. Patricia Mehrohff, who only acknowledges one of my Motions to Dismiss, when in reality I filled two Motions in a timely manner to Dismiss my case.

I maintain that these Motions I filed in a timely manner, were destroyed, mutilated, or lost accidentally on purpose, only so the City of Tucson could win this case against me and as part of the many periodical retaliation conspiracy against me for the complaints I have filed over the years.

Sincerely,