## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 10-343	
Complainant:		No. 1408210450A
Judge:		No. 1408210450B

## ORDER

The complainants alleged that a pro tem justice of the peace made inappropriate comments during a protective order hearing, failed to take into account their evidence, and issued incorrect rulings. After analyzing the allegations and listening to the recording of the hearing, the commission found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The judge acted within her authority in deciding what evidence to consider, but whether the judge ruled correctly involves a legal issue outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 7, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott

E. Keith Stott, Jr. Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 7, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

## **CONFIDENTIAL**

PAGE# 1

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

## **COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your name

Judge's name

Date: 12-17-10

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

V5. 12/10/10 Page # 2 Continued

Case No. CV 2010 -

Apache Junation Justice Court

12/10/10 8:30 Am

Outside of laundrymat. Defendant was very angry paceing back and forth while plaintiff was on cell phone. Judge said anybody has the right to do laundry. However, the defendant had no laundry or business Following me around Inside and outside laundry mat.
Defendant would walk and drive by my residence within to fto remerous times daily with a protection order in place a (Stalking) Judge Teplied, "it's only a thrufair

Case No. CV 2010-

12/10/10 8:30 AM

Apache Junction Justice Court

(plaintiff) was asked out for a personal date on (2) two seperate occassions by defendant, plaintiff was unreceptive. Defendant would than drive his pickup truck and gelf cart past my residence on his off days of work 30-42 times in a Several hour periods Judge said it was ok for defendant because he stated he was only going to get tooks at shed eventhour it was an his days off of work (Stalking Hangsment)

SIGNES

DATE 12/19/10