

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-352

Complainant: No. 1408710033A

Judge: No. 1408710033B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge demonstrated bias by refusing to allow him to speak in his own defense and by improperly referencing his criminal case in the context of a dependency action. After considering the allegations, the judge's response, and the recording of the hearings, the commission decided to dismiss the complaint by issuing an advisory letter reminding the judge to be patient and courteous to litigants. The complaint is dismissed with comments pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23.

Dated: April 18, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

Louis Frank Dominguez
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 18, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2010-352

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: Dec. 17, 2010

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

? 6 (a) I have paper work stating 2 different case #'s, and when I wrote the appellate court they said that Jd-2010- was not in the Phoenix State data system and I'm wondering if these proceedings are even validatable, if not even criminally fraudulent. A concern of mine cause how will I appeal.

He has broke Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct set forth by Arizona Courts by the Supreme Court canon's 1.1; 1.2; 1.3; 2.1; 2.2; 2.3; 2.4; 2.5; 2.6; 2.7; 2.11; 2.15. - If you review the 2 transcripts of court proceedings that I have been allowed to be involved with. You will find his demand of me not to speak at all breaking of 2.6 with intent and purpose. Reasoning being exposing to and for the record that this court has taken the personal issues and wants of a d.e.s worker my ex-mother in-laws want and desires influence to and of the court and used d.e.s, and court as a vehical to legally kidnap my kids and score my parental right for being incarcerated and I have not even been convicted yet.

is my ex-mother in-law and was a probation officer for court, is currently working for d.e.s that is giving recommendations to court, and court has followed everyone of them, she also is personal friend of foster mom, and personal friend of judge. He has forced attorneys upon me without cause, and refused me of my 6th amendment constitutional right.

breaking canon 1.1 and therefore canon 1.2, 1.3, 2.1 and by the above truthful statements following d.e.s. recommendations to the tilt of his judicial duties of canon 2.4 and by that canon's 2.1; 1.2; 1.3; 2.2; 2.5; 2.7 - Furthermore by not disqualifying himself and you will see in the first transcript when brought up by me to do his denial to do so and telling me to be quite and sit down breaking canon 2.6 in both transcripts and by breaking canon 2.11 he broke all the previous canons repeatedly and parallelly again. To keep himself clear he forces his abuse on me by claiming I'm incompetent, but I've been deemed competent and am a proper defendant in the very case that my incarceration is caused by that they are using hearsay evidence to claim a multitude of unsubstantiated implication upon me - I've asked for relief from this judge's judgments by special actions appeals court - but not sure if my mail has made it there. If you keep in mind this is the same county a judge in Lake Havasu City had over 300 complaints on him before an investigation found him to be taking kite backs from local bail bonds company's.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

1015

This judge is doing it to a multiple of people. They are even double trialing people in other cases. One guy they brought all his investigators, evidence and every thing in from his criminal case to sever his parental rights. His lawyer had him plea the fifth on every question so it wouldn't be used on him in his criminal case. Basically the da. had a practice trial. They brought up his juvenile record of stealing beer at 12 yrs old. What that has to do with his parental rights I'll never know. Every lawyer in there broke a.c.j.c. canon 2-15, cause there was so much illegality going on in there and no one saying nothing about it, but all of them being a part of it. The judge straight says to me "what gives you the right to speak" on Dec. 8th. I had to yell out every thing to get it on the record for an appeal that I've had to file myself with special actions, cause every motion I asked for a verbal argument and he never let me say a word. So I can only imagine what went on in his trial. All he want was his kid to go to people that was not using drugs and being he knows everyone personally involved in his life - his x-mother in-law that's living in a local motel. Who's the mother of his co-defendant that one or the other caused the deasease of their newborn. He was asking for an interstate compact, but d.e.s. didn't have a home inspection on any homes at all. His mom or hers, so you can see who's best interest the court was into for the case that they were suppost to be focused on. They literally tried his criminal case in his civil case that had nothing to do with the placement of the child that the dad

while still having his parental rights is to be involved in at the least for information of the best interest of the child's placement. They were showing pictures of his deceased new-born and all kinds of crazy stuff that had nothing to do with the focus of the child's best interest, and being he had not even been convicted of his accused crime and that being the whole reason for temp placement. It's all kinds of illegal, but the whole judicial practicing courts up here are acting like this. Like a dictatorship, and guilty before proven guilty by the proper actions needed by the courts here.

is supposed to be for the child's best interest. Johnathon signed over his stocks and bonds for his child to receive at 18 and a waiver of his rights and couldn't go on any longer. Rather he is guilty of the other or not I don't know, but I'm sure he wanted what he believes is in the best interest of his oldest daughter. His co-defendant is currently pretty much in compassionate rocking herself and medicated. That's who's mom they left the child with in a motel room with no home studies available by d.e.s. Jonathan Vanderline court Dec. 16. trial for parental rights severance - I've had a verbal plea. for you to look at transcripts to show this judges inconsistency of responsibilities, judgements, ect. He violates the Supreme Courts A.C.J.C. canons and is completely unfocused to the goals of his court - In my case he denied sending my kids to my Aunt and Uncle. Whom himself is a retired judge of Thatcher az. and she has worked for 30 yrs and retired from the Safford school district to stick them

into a local foster parents house that had 6 other kids under her care. When the mother of my two was residing in Safford at the time employed, and on parole from a 4 month dui stint in Perryville; probation violation reason for incarceration. Plus found with crystal meth in possession, but never charged by local protection officers or police officers, of courtesy probation to here Mohave County from Safford az. Denied for reasons by d.e.s. recommendation because Chris Long said he'd only do it for 1 yr allotted for the reunification period by d.e.s. Which came to find out is 6 months being everyone does what their supposed to. But the Longs have adopted another child that is 7 now and they are 65, but the reason said to separate mom and kids by distance of 8 hr's one way drive and away from blood relations was that the Longs would only be temporary. Yet the foster parent is temporary as well. But it forced their mom to move back up here and in with her mom that is an employee of d.e.s., an ex probation officer, a personal friend of judge and foster mom. If this is not a use of a public office to kidnap kids nobody knows what is. Now mother of my kids is "mia"; Using and on the streets in meth addiction when in Safford she was away from all that and surrounded by a loving caring support system that the judge made move from. When asked to shift all to d.e.s. in Safford, but according to mother in law Safford's d.e.s. is no good since her and her friends that have moved from here and are employed here - now are no good. Now they are trying to give my kids to mother in law that gave them up to d.e.s in first place. And are

are determined to the integrity of Arizona court system and socially is a break down.
Plus the lack of focus of the best interest for kids and being more motivated by county interest or for County interest and personal friends interest is a complete lack of confidence in the judicial system of promoting personal interest. Think of what 8 kids bring in to

her home
financially
by state
payed out
income to
her for a
temporary
foster
house of
a personal
friend of
judge and
ex-mother
in-law.

Trying to deny my brother 33 yr old an employed electrician dad of 3 with stay at home wife that did the same thing 3 months ago but under false untrue statements of d.e.s and foster mom. They now say they will adopt completely and had guardianship of them signed over by their mom and me, because grandma gave them to d.e.s. His appointed lawyer is lying to him and not giving them the d.e.s. case notes that states the d.e.s. plain to give to grandma - who I personally know to be unstable emotionally, or reliable and as soon as she at over 55 when she gets tired of doing the daily need deeds to raise kids will give them over to their mom clean or not. A.C.J.C. canon 2.11; 2.3; 2.4; 2.2; 1.1; 1.2; 1.3; IS being broke consistently in this case and him and every attorney involved is breaking rule 2.15 They keep spinning it out to every one that I don't have any reason to be emotionally upset, angry, or nothing while they portray me as insane by ex-mother-in-law's word of mouth, and ex-sister-in-law and think of this her own sister didn't want her to get our kids living here in the same town she signed over guardianship to me brother - That's why we all tried to get our kids to Safford - Now when all this happened I was distraught and simply saying what ever they wanted to hear hoping it would go away, but I'm not impressed mentally and in another court phyc eval passed and now pro-per defendant trying not to get rail roaded by the local vigilanties descised in robes, uniforms, and switer - Please don't do like the Bar and make a "professional courtesy" phone call and end this - These type of judicial actions -