

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 11-037

---

Complainant: No. 1411610573A

Judge: No. 1411610573B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a justice of the peace denied his due process rights by proceeding with his trial in the absence of the prosecutor. He further claimed the judge heard victim and witness testimony but ignored other pertinent facts. After reviewing the allegations and the supplemental materials, the commission found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The complainant mistakenly confused his arraignment hearing with a trial. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 24, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott

\_\_\_\_\_  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 24, 2011.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-037

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

\_\_ Judge's name:

Date: 2/11/11

**Instructions:** You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

BEGINNING ON 10/6/2010 it is my belief, I WAS DENIED A RIGHT TO A FAIR TRIAL + due process. ON 10/6/2010, I, WAS SUMMONED TO COURT IN PRESCOTT, AZ TO FACE CHARGES. WHEN CASE WAS CALLED OR SUMMONED THE COUNTY ATTORNEY COULD NOT BE FOUND. JUDGE HAD BAILIFF SEARCH FOR AND REPORTED BACK THAT COUNTY ATTORNEY WAS BELIEVED TO HAVE LEFT. I BELIEVE MY RIGHT TO FACE MY ACCUSERS FROM THE ONSET WERE DENIED. THEN TOOK OWNERSHIP ~~AS~~ THE ~~PROSECUTOR~~ PROSECUTOR TO LAUNCHED CHARGES AGAINST ME IN ~~REGARD~~ DISREGARD FOR MY RIGHT TO FACE MY ACCUSER(S). SUBSEQUENTLY, A SERIES OF CONTINUED ABUSE OF MY RIGHTS TO A FAIR TRIAL WERE IGNORED.

① LISTENED TO SUPPOSED VICTIMS TESTIMONY FULL OF RECANT AND INCONSISTENCIES, YET JUST LISTENED. LISTENED TO SUPPOSED VICTIMS ADMISSION TO AUTHOR OF SUPPOSED CRIME THROUGH, SEEMINGLY "FRAUD IN INDUCEMENT," YET JUST LISTENED. HEARD TESTIMONY FROM SUPPOSED VICTIM THAT AUTHORSHIP OF ACCUSATIONS AGAINST ME WERE ALSO STATED TO INCLUDE A PECUNIARY GAIN, YET JUST LISTENED - BUT FURTHER REWARD TO SUPPOSED VICTIM, SEEMS TO ME POSSIBLE "JOBBERY" COULD BE INTERPRETED? LISTENED TO OTHER POSSIBLE CRIMES INVOLVED, YET JUST LISTENED. RESULTS OF JUST LISTENING AND IGNORING THE PERTINENT FACTS DISCLOSED, SUGGESTS TO ME, A PREDICTED OUTCOME

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

from day ① 10/6/2010

NOTE: DURING MY TRIAL, INTERFERED WITH MY RIGHTS, BY HEARING OTHER CASES DURING TRIAL REPEATEDLY