

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 11-048

---

Complainant: No. 1412910860A

Judge: No. 1412910860B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge improperly changed her mind about the decision in his case and otherwise made incorrect rulings. He further claimed the judge was rude and threatened him with contempt of court. After considering the allegations and listening to the recording of the hearing and reviewing the additional information supplied by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of misconduct on the part of the judge. It is not unethical for a judge to reconsider a ruling and whether the ultimate decision was appropriate is a legal question outside the jurisdiction of the commission. The complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 1, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott

\_\_\_\_\_  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 1, 2011.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

2/18/11

TO Whom it MAY CONCERN

FEB 22 2011

My NAME is \_\_\_\_\_ AND I AM WRITING  
 A FORMAL COMPLAINT AGAINST COMMISSIONER  
 CASE NUMBER JR 1991- \_\_\_\_\_ FAMILY COURT - MESA COURT COMPLEX.

1. FIRST COURT DATE WAS 1/25/10 AT 1:00 PM  
 I WAS REPRESENTED BY MICHAEL P. SCHLOSS. AT THIS  
 HEARING COMMISSIONER \_\_\_\_\_ DISMISSING THE  
 PETITION TO REESTABLISH CHILD SUPPORT WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

TWO WEEKS LATER MICHAEL SCHLOSS INFORMS  
 ME THAT COMMISSIONER \_\_\_\_\_ CHANGE HER MIND  
 AND OPENED THE CASE AGAIN. MICHAEL SCHLOSS COULD NOT  
 UNDERSTAND THIS.

ONCE A COMMISSIONER MAKES A ORDER ON  
A MATTER THAT SHOULD BE IT, EVERYONE I TALKED TO  
 INCLUDING OTHER LAWYERS SAID SHE SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED  
 TO CHANGE HER ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

2. IN THE LAST COURT DATE 1/13/11 NOTHING  
 WAS STATED OR ACTIONS TO WARRENT COMMISSIONER  
 TO THREATENED CONTEMPT OF COURT AND  
 JAIL TIME, THIS WAS STATED 3 TIMES. THIS WAS NOT  
 CALLED FOR AT ANYTIME. SHE WAS 100% OUT OF LINE.

3. I TALKED TO TWO LAWYERS AND TWO  
 PARA-LEGAL ASSISTANT AND MY PSYCHOLOGIST AT THE V.A.  
 HOSPITAL ABOUT THE ABOVE #2 AND THAT I WAS  
 ORDER TO BE 50% INVOLVED IN DECISIONS ON BRYAN AND  
 \_\_\_\_\_ AND SPEND TIME WITH HIM.

THE LAWYERS & LEGAL ASSISTANT AND MY PSYCHOLOGIST SAID SHE WAS WRONG ORDERING THOSE TWO THINGS, BECAUSE HIS MOTHER HAS FULL LEGAL GUARDIANSHIP, SHE IS THE ONE IN CHARGE OF HIS WELL BEING. THEY ALSO TOLD ME BRYAN IS AN ADULT AND I CAN NOT BE ORDERED TO TALK WITH HIM OR DO ANYTHING WITH HIM, THAT I HAVE THE RIGHT TO NOT ASSOCIATE WITH ANYONE I WANT. THEY ALL SAID COMMISSIONER IS WRONG IN ORDERING ANY OF THE ABOVE.

I AM NOT INTERESTED AND DO NOT WANT ANY GUARDIANSHIP OF BRYAN. DUE TO MY 100% DISABILITY FROM THE ARMY FOR PTSD - GENERALIZED ANXIETY DISORDER, DEPRESSION, NOS

NO ONE OF THE ABOVE I TALK TO BELIEVE BRYAN IS MENTAL HANDICAPPED TO THE POINT NANCY WANTS EVERYONE TO BELIEVE.

1. HE HAS A DRIVERS LICENSES AND DRIVES HIS OWN TRUCK.

2. HE HAS HAD JOBS IN THE PAST.

3. HE KNOWS HOW TO COOK

4. CLEANS HOUSE

5. DOES LAUNDRY

6. HAS MOWED 1 ACRE LOT WITH RIDING MOWER.

7. GOES ON DATES & GOES OUT AND DRINKS SOME

8. GOES FISHING

9. GOES SHOPPING

10. SADDLES AND RIDES HORSES

11. KNOWS HOW TO USE A COMPUTER

COMMISSIONER  
TO MANY TIMES.

HAS BEEN OUT OF LINE

4. Mary Evans has Full Power of Attorney to handle  
All my affairs. Commissioner asked Mary

in a smart tone are you a lawyer. Mary does not have  
to be an attorney to have Power of Attorney to handle

someones affairs. Commissioner TONE OF

VOICE WAS NOT CALLED FOR. SHE ORDERED ME TO TALK ANYWAY.

I don't have to say anything the person with my  
Power of Attorney handles it all.