

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 11-076

Complainant: No. 1311610515A

Judge: No. 1311610515B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a justice of the peace violated his rights by refusing to appoint counsel for him, by denying his request for a continuance of his trial because of bias, and by making statements in court indicating she did not like him. The commission considered the allegations and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Based on the court record, the complainant has had multiple attorneys appointed, all of which he rejected and subsequently demanded to represent himself. Based on the information provided, there is insufficient basis to justify further investigation of the judge for bias. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 29, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 29, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-078

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____ Judge's name: _____ Date: 3-5-11

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

COMMITTEE - IT APPEARS TO ME JUDGE _____ IN LAKE
HAVASU CITY CONSOLIDATED COURT HAS VIOLATED CANNON 1 RULE
1.1 AND 1.2 ON SEVERAL OCCASSIONS. I GUESS SHE WANTS
TO INSURE THAT UNETHICAL MISCONDUCT IN THE JUDICIARY
IN LAKE HAVASU CITY IS ALIVE AND WELL (SINCE JUDGE ANDRUS
RESIGNED). MORE THAN ONE INCADENT WILL BE REPORTED HERE.
IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER (SINCE I AM IN JAIL WITH EXTREMELY
LIMITED ACCESS TO INFORMATION ANY OMISSIONS & E. EXACT DATES
ETC. WILL BE ADDED UPON MY RELEASE). WHICH I BELIEVE WILL
BE 3-10-11. THE FIRST WAS A WARRANT FOR MY ARREST THAT
WAS SIGNED BY JUDGE _____ THIS WARRANT CARRIED A
\$50,000⁰⁰ BAIL ALTHOUGH JUDGE _____ KNEW FROM PRIOR CONTACT
THAT I WAS A LONG TERM RESIDENT OF LAKE HAVASU, THAT I
OWNED (STILL DO) REAL ESTATE IN LAKE HAVASU, HAD NEVER
EVER FAILED TO APPEAR AND WAS DISABLED, I WAS FORCED
TO BAIL OUT ON THIS \$50,000⁰⁰ BECAUSE I HAD AN APPOINTMENT
WITH SSI REGARDS EARLY (AGE 62) SOCIAL SECURITY. IF I HAD MISSED
THIS APPOINTMENT, MY SSI PAYMENTS OF \$680⁰⁰ WOULD HAVE
TERMINATED. I WEEK LATER I APPEARED FOR MY PRE-
LIMINARY HEARING. I WAS TOLD BY JUDGE _____ THAT
IF I DID NOT WAIVE MY PRELIMINARY HEARING THE
CASE WOULD BE DISMISSED AND SHE WOULD ISSUE ANOTHER
WARRANT FOR MY ARREST WITH ANOTHER \$50,000 BAIL. IF
I DID WAIVE MY PRELIMINARY HEARING MY BAIL WOULD BE EX-
HONORATED AND I WOULD BE RELEASED ON MY O.R. (CONT.)

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

2011-073

JUDGE SHOULD HAVE RECUSED HERSELF BECAUSE OF HER HISTORY WITH AND OBVIOUS PREJUDICE TOWARDS WHEN THIS COMPLAINANT ASKED JUDGE FOR COUNSEL TO BE APPOINTED IN PLACE OF MR. FRAME SHE REFUSED TO DO SO. I THEN TOLD HER I WOULD TRY TO MAKE ARRANGEMENT WITH PRIVATE COUNSEL (I WAS OUT ON BAIL AT THIS TIME) I AM ALSO CHARGED WITH FTA ON THIS CASE WHEN I WAS IN CUSTODY, ON DEC 3, 2010. I HAVE NEVER WAIVED MY RIGHT TO COUNSEL. MY TRIAL IS SET IN THIS CASE FOR MARCH 16, 2011 I HAVE REQUESTED A CONTINUANCE AND ALTHOUGH I AM NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL AND JUDGE HAS REFUSED TO APPOINT COUNSEL AND I HAVE BEEN IN JAIL SINCE DEC 3, 2010 (IT IS A FALSE CHARGE OF PROBATION VIOLATION AND JUDGE IS AWARE I AM IN JAIL) THEREFORE UNABLE TO PROCURE COUNSEL, JUDGE HAS REFUSED TO ALLOW A CONTINUANCE. THIS CASE IS 1 YR OLD 1 DAY AFTER THE DATE SET FOR TRIAL. THE LAB TOOK ALMOST 3 1/2 MO. TO PROVIDE AN ANALYSIS. STILL JUDGE DENIES MY MOTION TO CONTINUE AND PRESUME WILL ATTEMPT TO PROCEED WITH A TRIAL ON 3-16-11 WITHOUT ALLOWING ME TO BE REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL. THIS VIOLATES THE ESSENCE OF "FAIR TRIAL" AND "DUE PROCESS" AND PROVES HER MISCONDUCT ON THE BENCH

AB

CONT.

I HAD ALREADY PAID \$5,000⁰⁰ FOR THE BAIL, NOW I WAS BEING EXTORTED INTO WAIVING MY RIGHT TO THE PRELIMINARY HEARING (THIS IS EXTORTION IN ITS LOWEST FORM, PURE AND SIMPLE) THIS IN OPEN COURT, NEEDLESS TO SAY I WAIVED MY RIGHT. THE CASE WAS, I BELIEVE, LATER DISMISSED. I WILL SUPPLY CASE # AND DATE TO THE COMMISSION UPON MY RELEASE FROM JAIL

AT MINIMUM THIS ACTION VIOLATES THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIII AMENDMENT AND CORRESPONDING ARIZONA CONSTITUTION AND ARS. FOR THAT ACTION, IN PART, I FILED A \$49,000,000 FEDERAL CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AGAINST AS A NAMED DEFENDANT. JUDGE HAS MADE REMARKS IN OPEN COURT OBVIATING HE DISLIKE FOR ME (THE FEELING IS MUTUAL) NOW I AM THE DEFENDANT IN CASE # MTR 2010 IN LAKE HAVASU CITY AND JUDGE IS THE JUDGE. I WAS FOUND TO BE INDIGENT BY JUDGE ANDRESS. MR FRAME (PARKER, AZ) WAS APPOINTED AS MY COUNSEL. PRIOR TO HIS APPOINTMENT I HAD FILED A MOTION TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE BASED ON THE BIAS OF JUDGE ANDRESS (ANDRESS DENIED THE MOTION -) WHO ISSUED THE SEARCH WARRANT SEE CASE # 10 - THEREAFTER MR FRAME ASKED JUDGE IF HE COULD WITHDRAW (JUDGE TOOK OVER BECAUSE OF JUDGE ANDRESS RESIGNATION FOR MISCONDUCT)