

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 11-080

---

Complainant: No. 1415710582A

Judge: No. 1415710582B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a superior court commissioner was rude, allowed the opposing party to lie, and made incorrect legal rulings. After considering the allegations and listening to the recording of the hearing, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the commissioner. Whether the commissioner's ruling was correct involves a legal issue that is outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 29, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott

\_\_\_\_\_  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 29, 2011.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

February 28, 2011

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Case Number FN 2011-

On January 28, 2011 I had the misfortune of appearing in the courtroom of [redacted]. I had filed an Order of Protection against my son, [redacted]. In my opinion, this surly, foul tempered Commissioner is ill suited to sit in judgment when it comes to the safety and security of the public. In my opinion, she lacks even a modicum of common sense which I shall demonstrate in this complaint.

My son, [redacted] is a convicted terrorist. He spent many years in a federal prison for four felonies that included constructing explosive devices for the furtherance of civil disorder, threats to blow up federal buildings, possession of machine guns, and other crimes and he was prosecuted by Director of Homeland Security, Janet Napolitano. Ms. Napolitano's press release is attached. [redacted] was called a terrorist repeatedly by then President Bill Clinton from the south lawn of the White House. All of this is public record some of which I have attached to this filing.

My son, [redacted] has made repeated threats against me and against my business interests for the past several years when I quit financially supporting him. Fifteen months ago, when I turned the ignition in one of my trucks, the truck detonated and two full gasoline tanks in the truck exploded. Fire investigators were unable to discover the source of the detonation but it was in the vicinity of the center of the two gasoline tanks. The resulting explosion and fire destroyed the garage and most of my home. The vehicle was so completely consumed there was no way of knowing the exact cause of the explosion. I escaped with only a few seconds to save my life.

Just prior to Christmas, 2010, I was contacted by Ms. Terri Costanzo who told me she had been [redacted] live in girlfriend for the past two years. She asked to meet me immediately and when I met her she detailed the threats that [redacted] was continuing to make toward me. She then told me that [redacted] had poured gasoline over her vehicle and was going to incinerate it. She further stated that he had

sent her pictures of that act. I asked her to participate in this Order of Protection and she refused. I have her work and cell phone numbers. She is, no doubt, afraid of the retaliation of

When I attempted to detail the past crimes and criminal history of my son, \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_\_ courtroom she had no interest in hearing what I had to say and in fact stated she had to have only something that had occurred within one year. Attached is page 7 of the transcript. While I understand the constraints of the law, **COMMON SENSE** would tell you to at least look at the criminal background of the defendant. Throughout the proceeding I attempted to point out every lie that my son, \_\_\_\_\_ had stated in his response to the Order of Protection and \_\_\_\_\_ was not interested in the lies told to the court. \_\_\_\_\_ chose to ignore the fact that his response was a diatribe of lies. \_\_\_\_\_ stated he had no contact with me since March, 2006 and when I tried to give to \_\_\_\_\_ 8 x 11 dated photographs that proved that to be a lie, she refused to look at them. When I tried to provide emails from \_\_\_\_\_ long after March, 2006, \_\_\_\_\_ refused to look at them. When I tried to show \_\_\_\_\_ text messages from \_\_\_\_\_, she refused to look at them.

\_\_\_\_\_ stated that he had filed a Civil Action against me and since that too was a lie he could not produce that Civil Action, yet \_\_\_\_\_ went out of her way to discuss many aspects of my financial background. \_\_\_\_\_ seemed to be more interested in some fantasy civil action than in the matter at hand. Page 12 & 13 of the transcript is attached.

My son, \_\_\_\_\_ stated in his response that he was never convicted as a terrorist because the United States government did not prosecute him under the Patriot Act. \_\_\_\_\_ didn't want to listen to the fact that \_\_\_\_\_ had already been in prison for 5 years for his crimes when the Patriot Act was signed into law. Page 14 & 15 of the transcript is attached.

My son, \_\_\_\_\_ seems to have an inordinate and personal knowledge of my vehicles as he stated in Page 26 of the transcript which is attached. If he had no contact with me since March, 2006 how was he aware of my vehicle and its' condition on October 30, 2009 when my vehicle exploded??? How did he know which of my 4 vehicles exploded???? \_\_\_\_\_ was not interested in that.

Again, I understand the constraints of the law, but when a person sits in judgment that may be detrimental to the safety of others they have a **MORAL** obligation to utilize **COMMON SENSE** in their judgment. My son, \_\_\_\_\_ is a **FOUR TIME FELON** with a history of constructing bombs. My vehicle exploded under circumstances not determined by fire investigators. \_\_\_\_\_ threatened to incinerate his girlfriend's car utilizing gasoline. **ALL JUST A CO-INCIDENCE????** Hey, who knows???? The President of the United States and the current Director of Homeland Security seemed to think my son was a prolific and proficient bomb maker. My son \_\_\_\_\_ says he wants no contact with me, yet he chose to fight the

Order of Protection???? Do you see something really odd about that??? Even someone with obvious lack of skills in the common sense department should have convinced her to make a better determination if you consider that fact.

My son, is about 2 years from being 50 years old and he spends most of his waking hours online, in the "cockpit" of his online killing machine, playing games of murder, mayhem and destruction. A photograph from his own web site is attached. In 2008 my son, demanded that I turn over to him assault rifles and ammunition that were released to me after he went to prison. He wanted them given to him "through a third party", even though he can never own a gun again because of his violent felonies. I refused. Of course would not hear that. It's not relevant. But then again, none of my son's violent past is relevant to It's only relevant is he is caught in a violent act in the last 12 months. My son takes great glee in the mind games he played against prison guards and continues to play them to this day. He worked just like he did those prison guards. I almost vomited when on page 27 of the transcript he states how much he loves me!!!! Perhaps that's why he states in the attached April 9, 2009 email to me, 'You're my bitch Loren. You just don't know it yet'. got played just like a tightly strung fiddle.

Do I believe that this complaint will fall on deaf ears??? Of course I do. The Courts are incapable of policing their own ranks just as a Police Department cannot police itself. But, I can state without hesitation, if something happens to me or my family of a violent nature, my son will certainly be the number one suspect. If a violent act is perpetrated against me, will be partially responsible because she failed to take the first small step of allowing this Order of Protection. And if that violent act does occur and the Commission on Judicial Conduct does nothing to correct this Commissioner, then you will be responsible as well. Do I expect to reverse her decision??? Of course not. Those acting as little demi-Gods would never admit error. Has ever reversed one of her decisions??? Do I expect you to remove from the bench or even issue a reprimand?? Of course not. What I do have is a paper trail that will hold those responsible if some act of violence is committed against me, my family or my business. You have complete access to the transcript and I have a complete copy as well. The transcript details my every effort to tell that my son was lying to the court and she was not interested. I have attached other documents with this filing. in my opinion, is unfit to sit in judgment over the health and safety of the public. In my opinion, her lack of common sense and her surly attitude denigrate the bench upon which she sits.