

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 11-089

Complainant: No. 1416300268A

Judge: No. 1416300268B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a municipal court judge was rude and mishandled his case. After considering the allegations and listening to the recordings of the hearings, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of either hearing officer. The recordings reveal that the judge did not treat the complainant poorly despite his conduct and quickly corrected what she determined was a problematic discretionary decision. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 30, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 30, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

MAR 24 2011

To: The Arizona Bar Association
4201 N. 24th Street, Suite 200
Phoenix, Arizona 85016-6288

01.22.2011

I was in court for an arraignment about ten days ago and the following took place.

I was sitting in the back of the court quietly while the City Prosecutors went about the business of trying to secure revenue for the city by pushing people into this "diversion" program *which is nothing but a scam to get people to pay money to the City of Tucson instead of going to court and defending themselves against the charges. It is a scare tactic.* At that point a woman called my name and I said "set for speedy trial". To which she responded that she would need to speak to me about my "options", to which I reiterated" please set for speedy trial we have nothing to discuss but the evidence at the time of same."

All of a sudden this tall guy with a rabbit's foot for a mustache turns around and literally lunges at me saying "you shut-up" or something angry and to that effect. I mean he lunged at me with evil eyes and tone of voice that would have passed for Demonic in a horror film! I responded to him by saying "back off, you are not my daddy and I just want a date for speedy trial which is my right."

Well at that point Judge Judy (I don't know her name) says" take it outside", so I left the courtroom and went to stand quietly minding my own business outside until they got their poop together. Then here comes not one not 2 but three cops over to monitor me. (being that I am such a threat to society and all), and they start lecturing me about not pissing off the Judge and "she can hold you in contempt" and all these veiled threats that I am just not interested in because I don't break the law and I don't think I give up my right to free speech when I walk into a court room.

Finally they call me back in to the courtroom and Judge Judy barks at me" take off your hat". Now if I were a Muslim. Or Hindu or a freakin Jew with a yamaka on would she do that? I think not. What statute under Arizona law says I have to remove my symbol of free expression when I enter a court? Please show me in the Law because I want to know. Then I can set about challenging it.

Anyway the prosecutor tells me sit over here, and Judge Judy "yells go sit over there" pointing to a different spot, she was obviously so confused and dumbfounded by the chaos in her own court room(which I should remind you was built and paid for by the great people of Tucson, as well as all their freakin over inflated salaries) The we got into some banter about my attitude(which is mine to have and

has no bearing on the legal precedents here) and throws out some inappropriate remark about my attitude again followed by some smart-ass remark about me being charged with intimidation and threats as if I must be guilty in her mind because she has known me for all of 5 minutes and can see clearly into my past and my life and knows all there is to know about me and my criminal behavior. and I said "I'm sorry; I thought I was innocent until proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?" Obviously not in this regurgitate legal racket to feed the City's overdrawn budget. Then I ask her for a waiver for filing fees because I am indigent and cannot afford to tie my freakin shoes. She tells me that there are no fees and I don't need a waiver. Untrue. It says right on the court instructions I have to pay just get copies of the evidence and police report. Money I just do not have. How am I supposed to defend myself in this joke of a justice system I ask you? The way you have things set up I am being denied access to the court by virtue of being broke and I will be railroaded in court because I cannot mount a defense with proper subpoenas and motions and petitions. Is this what our nation has come to, or is it just the MOB that calls itself justice in Tucson.

I would very much like this event to be investigated, I await your response. Please respond by hard copy to the address listed above, or by email.

Thank you for your time,

Addendum:

During the actual trial I told the judge I no longer recognized her authority to sentence me and that I would like to leave since I had no intention paying fine, and they said no jailtime could be applied.

The Judge said
 Since we don't need you here to pass sentence, I left, quietly and peacefully. As I walked towards Rounsford center (4) police officers surrounded me, throwing me to the ground, cuffing me and dragged me to some holding

cell before bringing me back to court
What the (F) is that?