

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 11-097

---

Complainant: No. 1416810211A

Judge: No. 1416810211B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that two superior court judges improperly ruled on motions for change of judge.

The responsibility and mission of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether the judges engaged in conduct that violated the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After carefully reviewing all of the documents provided by the complainant, the members of the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and no violation of the Code on the part of either judge in this case. The commission has no jurisdiction to determine the legal sufficiency of the judges' decisions. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 7, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

\_\_\_\_\_  
George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 7, 2011.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**2011-097**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your name: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 3-31/11

**Instructions:** You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ violated Rule 10.1 (c) and 10.6  
Ariz. R. Jud. Proc. by repeatedly denying  
Rule 10.1 and federal due process challenges  
to himself and by not forwarding  
bias challenges for review by an  
impartial tribunal.

This occurred on or near February 18,  
2009, March 18, 2009 and March 27, 2009,  
also on or about September 30, 2009  
and on or about November 2, 2009.

A more precise chronology and  
analysis is forthcoming.

*(Attach additional sheets as needed)*