State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 11-098

Complainant:

Judge:

No. 1416910921A

No. 1416910921B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a civil traffic hearing officer accepted evidence against him after he had concluded the hearing and took the case under advisement. After considering the allegations, the judge's response, and listening to the recording of the hearing, the commission found no ethical misconduct on the part of the hearing officer. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 20, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 20, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

ATTACHMENT TO STATEMENT GIVEN AT HEARING, ON MARCH 31, 22011-098

LEGAL EXPERTS HAVE SAID THAT A TRIAL IS A SEARCH FOR THE TRUTH. THIS WILL BE A TRUTH OF THE TRIAL, DETAILING THE EVENTS AS THEY OCCURRED. PIMA COUNTY CONSOLIDATED JUSTICE COURT, CHAMBER #7.

I SHOWED UP AT THE HEARING CHAMBERS JUST BEFORE 3:30 P.M. UPON COMPLETION OF THE PREVIOUS CASE, I TOOK MY PLACE AT THE APPROPRIATE TABLE, AND AFTER SOME PRELIMINARIES, BEING FITTED WITH A HEARING AID, TOOK A SOLEMN OATH, AFTER RAISING MY RIGHT HAND "TO TELL THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP ME GOD".

I THEN GAVE MY TESTIMONY, READING FROM A PREPARED TEXT. UPON COMPLETION, THE HEARING OFFICER SAID HE WOULD TAKE THE MATTER UNDER REVIEW AND CONSIDERATION. THERE WAS NO REBUTTAL OF REPLY FROM ANYONE REPRESENTING THE STATE/COUNTY/SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. THERE WAS A LADY SITTING AT A DESK TO MY LEFT, WHO NEVER SPOKE DURING THE PROCEEDINGS. I FAILED TO MOVE FOR DISMISSAL OF THE CHARGES.

THE HEARING OFFICER SUBSEQUENTLY, ON APRIL 2, ISSUED AN ORDER DECLARING ME "GUILTY", HAVING BEEN FED INFORMATION, NOT PRESENTED AT COURT HEARING, BY THE ROUND-ABOUT, ATTESTING **YEAT THE** PHOTO CAMERA EQUIPMENT WAS FUNCTIONING PROPERLY, WHICH THE PHOTOS PRESENTED AT THE HEARING CLEARLY SHOWS WAS NOT FUNCTIONING BY DESIGN, TO WHICH AT THE HEARING I WOULD HAVE RESPONDED. (DUE PROCESS OF LAW????) SO MUCH FOR THIS TALE OF TRUTH.