

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 11-105

Complainant: No. 1417410691A

Judge: No. 1417410691B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court commissioner overruled his own judgment. The commission reviewed the complaint and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Allegations that involve legal rulings fall outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 22, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 22, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-105

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____

__ Judge's name: _____

___ Date: 4-8-11

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

In forcible Detainer hearing, Defendant lost, but the Minute Entry reflected that vacated judgement & requested from Plaintiff to file an amended judgment in 4 days. Plaintiff got Comm signature on Dec 13, 2010 - 16 days after Minute Entry gave them. However, Com signed the Amended judgment anyway, overruling his previous order from Nov 12, 2010. 31 days after the 4 days, the Plaintiff filed the Amended Judgment with the Clerk.

* Commissioner overruled his own judgment!

If a judge can change/modify his ruling or Order at any time, there would be total chaos in the court systems!

(The Defendant, likewise, was never served, mailed this Amended Judgment, that has led to writ of Restitution at any time, until he received it as Exhibits in Mr. Quiet Title action, (different case), on March 31, 2011, when Defendant in Quiet Title filed Motion to dismiss

CANNOT FOLLOW HIS ORDERS! - COMMISSIONER

COURT DID NOT NOTIFY DEFENDANT OF AMENDED JUDGMENT.

TOTAL ACTION IS PREJUDICE AGAINST DEFENDANT IN FORECLOSURE ACTION