State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-119	
Complainant:		No. 1418210712A
Judge:		No. 1418210712B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a justice of the peace demonstrated bias and violated her rights by improperly dismissing her case. The commission reviewed the allegations along with documents provided by the complainant and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised relate only to the judge's decisions, which are outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 3, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer
George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 3, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

RE: COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE: HONORABLE JUDGE

I FILED A CIVIL LAWSUIT IN HER COURT,

INFORMED BY THE CLERK FROM

JURISDICTION OVER THIS MATTER, RELUCTANTLY I WENT AND FILED THE CIVIL

LAWSUIT AGAINST DEFENDANT,

() AND FILED AGAINST HER

CASE#: CC 2011
() FOR \$5,000.00 EACH IN MY SUIT.

MY INITIAL COMPLAINT LAID THE BASIS FOR MY LAWSUIT AND SUBSTANTIATED MY CLAIM, HOWEVER I DID NOT INITIALLY PRESENT MY CASE IN ITS ENTIRETY BECAUSE THAT WAS RESERVED FOR THE COURT DATE PER THE INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE COURT. I LAID THE FOUNDATION AND DETAILED MY CIVIL LAWSUIT.

THE DEFENDANT

SUBMITTED HER SWORN ANSWER AS OF

PURPOSELY SENT IT TO AN INCORRECT POST OFFICE BOX WHEN I HAD CLEARLY LISTED MY BOX NUMBER SO AS TO DELAY MY RECEIVING HER RESPONSE. THEN SHE OBTAINED COUNSEL,

AS OF

TO AMEND HER ANSWER AND REQUEST A DISMISSAL OF THE CASE. HIS MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES DISGUISED MY LEGITIMATE CLAIM AS FRIVILOUS AND PREPOSTEROUS ALLEDGING ALLEGATIONS UNSPECIFIED WHICH DEFINITELY WAS NOT TRUE. HE AMENDED HER ANSWER BECAUSE OF THE INCONSISTENCIES AND LIES NOTED IN HIS CLIENT'S SWORN STATEMENT, THEREFORE SHE ALSO CONTRADICTED HIS STATEMENT NONE OF WHICH THE JUDGE ACKNOWLEDGED.

I SUBMITTED MY RESPONSE TIMELY AS OF.

MOTIONS FURTHER OUTLINING AND DETAILING MY CASE WHICH WAS OBVIOUS I HAD
TO PRESENT MY CASE, FACTS SURROUNDING IT AND SITE ARIZONA REVISED STATUES TO
SUPPORT MY CLAIM WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN OTHERWISE RESERVED FOR THE
ACTUAL COURT DATE OR PRETRAIL CONFERENCE SCHEDULED
COUNSEL WAS EXTREMELY CONFIDENT THAT HE WOULD GET MY CASE DISMISSED
WITHOUT A HEARING THAT HE DRAFTED UP A MOTION (ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS) HIMSELF ENCOURAGING THE JUDGE TO DISMISS
MY CASE WITHOUT DUE PROCESS AND VIOLATING MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.
WHATEVER COUNSEL WANTED THE JUDGE GRANTED AND CO-SIGNED OFF ON
WHETHER A LEGITIMATE REQUEST OR NOT.

THE JUDGE DID NOT EVEN ACKNOWLEDGE NOR REVIEW MY MOTIONS WHICH SUBSTANTIATED MY CLAIM AND WITH JUSTIFIABLE CAUSE AS WAS SUBMITTED ON . THE JUDGE IMMEDIATELY GRANTED HIS REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL AS OF WITHOUT GIVING ME DUE PROCESS AND VIOLATING MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. SHE OBVIOUSLY KNOWS THIS ATTORNEY WHICH IS EVIDENT IN THE EXPEDIATED DISMISSAL OF MY CASE. TOGETHER THEY ROBBED ME OF DUE PROCESS VIOLATED MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AND ABUSED THE SYSTEM, THE PROCESS AND THEIR AUTHORITIES. HER ACTIONS WERE UNETHICAL AND NOT WITHIN THE LAW AND UNBECOMING OF A JUDGE. I AM ENTITLED TO THE SAME PROFESSIONAL COURTESY AS IS EXTENDED TO AN ATTORNEY. IT IS APPARENT THAT THEY ARE IN A POSITION TO VIOLATE MY RIGHTS WHICH SHE DID. THEY DON'T EVEN PLAY BY THEIR OWN RULES NOR THE OUTLINE OF THE PROCEDURES OF

THE COURT WHICH I'M THE ONLY ONE HELD ACCOUNTABLE TO.

I REQUESTED A RECONSIDERATION REGARDING THE DISMISSAL OF MY CASE AS OF .
WHICH I'M SURE SHE WON'T GIVE ANY CONSIDERATION TO NO MORE THAN SHE DID MY INITIAL CIVIL LAWSUIT. I WILL BE PURSUING THIS FURTHER IN AN APPEAL AND/OR SPECIAL ACTION, THEREBY SEEKING OTHER LEGAL REMEDIES TO RECTIFY THIS WRONG, UNLAWFUL AND UNETHICAL ACTION. I HAVE REQUESTED AS OF .

THAT THE OTHER CASE#: CC 2011- ,(

) PENDING IN HER

COURT BE TRANSFERRED TO

UNDER HONORABLE

BECAUSE I BELIEVE I WOULD RECEIVE A FAIR RULING UNDER HIS EXPERTISE
BEING THAT HE IS ALREADY FAMILIAR WITH THE PARTICULARS OF THIS CASE FROM A
SUBSEQUENT CASE WHERE I WON A JUDGMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT
CASE#: CC
2008AS OF
DEFENDANT

WAS UPSET WITH JUDGE
WHO AWARDED ME THE JUDGMENT
BASED ON THE VALIDTY OF MY CLAIM AND I WAS ABLE TO SUPPLY DOCUMENTATION TO
SUBSTANTIATE MY CLAIM THEN HE DEMAND THE JUDE'S RECUSAL AND I AM
EXERCISING MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO ASK FOR JUDGE
RECUSAL IN
HOPES OF PRESERVING MY RIGHTS UNDER THE LAW.

ENCLOSURES: INITIAL COMPLAINT (CIVIL LAWSUITS)

DEFENDANT ANSWER, SWORN STATEMENT DATED
DEFENDANT ANSWER, SWORN STATEMENT DATED
DUPLICATE WRITTEN ANSWER, PLAINTIFF'S CHANGED BY THEM

MOTIONS FROM DEFENDANT
NOTICE OF APPEARANCE: CC 2011
AMENDED ANSWER: TO CHANGE HER LIES
MOTION TO DISMISS: UNJUSTLY SUBMITTED
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES (LOADED WITH LIES)

MOTIONS I SUBMITTED TO SUBSTANTIATE MY CASE AS OF MOTION TO STRIKE COUNSEL'S PLEADINGS; IE, DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS & AMENDED ANSWER MOTION TO KEEP DEFENDANT'S ORIGINAL ANSWER MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADING CAUSE OF ACTION CIVIL CONSPIRACY ALL MOTIONS SENT CERTIFIED TO DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

JUDGE GRANTED THE REQUEST OF COUNSEL TO DISMISS MY CASE WITHOUT EVEN REVIEWING MY FOUR (4) MOTIONS SUBMITTED

PLAINTIFF SUBMITTED MOTION FOR RECONSIDER DISMISSING CASE ON AND SENT CERIFIED TO DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT SENT CERTIFIED TO DEFENDANT, ATTORNEY,

ENCLOSURES: MOTION SUBMITTED TO JUDGE IN COURT TO TRANSFER CASE#: CC 2011- ()

RULING ON THE MOTION RECEIVED FROM ATTORNEY,
, NOT THE COURTS GRANTING HIS MOTION TO
DISMISS MY CASE. THE RULING THOSE NOT ACKNOWLEDGE NOR HAVE
LISTED THE FOUR (4) MOTIONS I SUBMITTED ON

JUDGMENT CC 2008- THAT I WON AGAINST DEFENDANT
AS OF FOR SUBMITTED WITH INITIAL COMPLAINT
TO COURT AS OF AS VERIFICATION

SUBPEONA (ALTERED & FORGED) BY DEFENDANT'S
FROM WHEN HE DECEIVED THE
COURTS IN THE MIDST OF HAVING CASE TRANSFERRED TO
COURT BECAUSE HE WAS UPSET WITH JUDGE
OBTAINED THIS SUBPOENA ON A CLOSED CASE THAT I WON
AGAINST HIM. THEY ABUSED THE PROCESS AND FALSIFIED PUBLIC
RECORD

MOTION TO VACATE JUDGMENT CC 2008- DATED WAS DENIED BY JUDGE , DEFENDANT WAS COGNIZANT THAT HE WAS NOT THE PLAINTIFF AS IS INDICATED ON THE SUBPEONA HE FORGED WITH DEFENDANT

COPY OF ENVELOPE SENT

WITH NO NAME ON IT SHOWS ADDRESS
OF

BUT MAILED FROM
SHE INTENTIONALLY PUT MY WRONG BOX# ON IT WHEN I HAD
CLEARLY WRITTEN IT ON THE INITIAL COMPLAINT/CIVIL LAWSUIT, THIS
WAS AN ATTEMPT TO DELAY MY RECEIVING THEIR ANSWERS
DEFENDANTS

WHICH I DIDN'T RECEIVE UNTIL