

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 11-121

---

Complainant: No. 1326810613A

Judge: No. 1326810613B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge engaged in improper ex parte communication and then issued a biased ruling. He further alleged that another superior court judge failed to reconsider various rulings or to overturn previous rulings. The commission reviewed the allegations, including four additional submissions by the complainant, the judge's response, and the court file and found no evidence of bias or improper ex parte communication. The primary allegations concern the judges' legal rulings, which are outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 3, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer  
\_\_\_\_\_  
George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 3, 2011.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

2011-121  
APR 25 2011

PAGE 1 OF 4

18 APR 11

TO: COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT  
1501 WEST WASHINGTON  
SUITE 229  
PHOENIX, AZ 85007

FROM:

**SUBJECT: FN 2006-           ,CA-CV-08           & CV-09-**

**IN REFERENCE TO THE ATTACHED LETTER I AM WRITING AS INSTRUCTED TO FILE A COMPLAINT ABOUT THIS CASE:**

IN REFERENCE TO THE ABOVE CASE I HAD FILED AN APPEAL BECAUSE THE JUDGE HAD A PRIVATE CALL WITH THE PETITIONERS LAWYER, AND AS YOU CAN SEE THE COURT OF APPEALS STATES FOR INFORMATION, HOW WOULD THE COURT OF APPEALS KNOW WHEN THEY WERE NOT INVOLVED IN THE PRIVATE CALL? THE DECREE GIVES **EVERYTHING** TO THE PETITIONER AFTER 49.5 YEARS OF MARRIAGE, IS THIS ONE SIDED OR IS THIS THE ARIZONA LAW OR DICIDED IN THE PRIVATE CALL? IN THE DECREE THE JUDGES LISTS MY BUSINESS. IN MY 76 YEARS OF LIFE **I HAVE NEVER HAD A BUSINESS.** WAS THIS CLEARED IN THE PRIVATE CALL? SHE THEN LISTS MY INCOME WAS NOT TRUE, I HAVE NO OTHER INCOME. WAS THIS DICUSSED IN THE PRIVATE MEETING? ALL THE RULINGS WERE MADE FROM LIES AND FALSE DOCUMENTATION WHICH I HAVE SUBMITTED PROOF OF SEVERAL OF THE LIES TO THE COURTS, BUT NO CHANGE IN THE UNFAIR RULINGS.

THE PETITIONER FLAT OUT LIED TO THE JUDGE ABOUT COMMISSION, AND APPRASIAL, TRUE DOCUMENTATION WAS FILED WITH THE REBUTTAL AND MANY OTHER TIMES, BUT NO ACTION ON HER LIES. **SO THE JUDGE SEEMS TO APPROVE OF THE LIES AND STILL RULE FOR ONE SIDE SHE MUST LIKE. IS THAT THE ARIZONA LAW? THIS COST US THOUSANDS NO ACTION FOR LIES!**

THE PETITIONER SOLD COMMUNITY PROPERTY AND KEPT ALL FUNDS, AGAINST COURT ORDERS, **SHE TESTIFIED TO THE JUDGE THAT SHE HAD DONE THIS IN COURT, NO ACTION! ARE THESE RULES ONLY FOR ONE SIDE?**

THE PETITIONER STOLE PROPERTY, SOLD IT OR KEPT IT FOR HERSELF, ALSO ADMITTED TO THE STEALING TO THE JUDGE **SO THE JUDGE WAS AWARE OF THIS ILLEGAL ACT, BUT OK WITH THE COURT. HERE AGAIN ARE LAWS ONLY FOR PEOPLE THE JUDGE DISLIKES? NO ACTION OK WITH THE JUDGE!**

THEY PRESENTED A FALSE 1040 IN THE HEARING 23 JUL 07, I TOLD THE JUDGE IT WAS NOT OURS, NO ACTION, WAS THIS CLEARED IN THE PRIVATE CALL?.

THE PETITIONER FILED A FALSE BLUEBOOK FOR A VEHICLE THAT HAS NEVER EXISTED AND I AM RULED TO PAY HALF OF A VEHICLE THAT HAS NEVER EXISTED. ONE SIDE CAN LIE AND SUBMIT ANY DOCUMENT AND THE JUDGE RULES ON THE FALSE DOCUMENT, IS THIS THE ARIZONA LEGAL SYSTEM?

THE JUDGE SQUASHED MY SUBPOENA FOR FROM LIES, I FILED A MOTION, BUT THE MOTION WAS DENIED, SO THE TRUE TESTIMONY WAS NOT HEARD BECAUSE THE JUDGE BELIEVED THE LIES WITH NO PROOF. FLAT OUT LIES OK WITH THE JUDGE AND SHE SQUASHED THE SUBPOENA! WHY?

THEN AFTER THE PRIVATE CALL THE JUDGE AWARDED ALL OF OUR PROPERTY TO THE PETITIONER AFTER 49.5 YEARS OF MARRIAGE, WAS THIS DISCUSSED IN THE PRIVATE CALL, EVERYTHING TO ONE SIDE, ALL COMMUNITY PROPERTY AND MONEY. IS THIS THE ARIZONA LEGAL SYSTEM?

THE JUDGE DID REMOVE HERSELF FROM THE CASE, BUT DID NOT CHANGE ANY RULINGS MADE FROM LIES AND FALSE DOCUMENTATION, WAS THIS DECIDED IN THE PRIVATE CALL OR DO ALL JUDGES RULE ON LIES AND FALSE DOCUMENTATION?

THE DECREE STATES THE PETITIONER SHOULD PAY HALF OF ANY FUNDS USED BY HER, HERE AGAIN THIS HAS NOT BEEN FOLLOWED, SHE MOVED ITEMS SOLD ITEMS, STOLE ITEMS AND NOTHING HAPPENS TO ONE SIDE! I FILED A MOTION ABOUT THIS, BUT AS USUAL MY MOTION WAS DENIED, NO REASON JUST DENIED, GUESS LAWS ARE ONLY FOR THE SIDE THAT JUDGES LIKE.

THE MOTION TO STOP SPOUSAL SUPPORT WAS DENIED NO REASON. THE AZ LAW STATES UPON REMARRIAGE SUPPORT WILL STOP, MY MOTION DENIED, ARE THE LAWS ONLY FOR CERTAIN PEOPLE? SHE REMARRIED IN JUNE 2010.

I HAD FILED A MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL DENIED BY JUDGE NO REASON.

LATER I FILED A MOTION FOR A MISTRIAL DENIED BY JUDGE NO REASON, LAWS ARE ONLY FOR ONE SIDE!

WHEN THE PETITIONER FILED FOR DIVORCE SHE LIED ON THE FORMS.

PETITIONER LIED ABOUT JEWELRY, WHICH WAS STOLEN BY THE AIRLINE, JUDGE RULED, SAYING HE BELIEVED HER SINCE THE AIRLINE HAD STOLEN IT YEARS AGO, HE RULED IN THE 23 APR 10 HEARING, BUT THIS LIE HAD BEEN STATED BACK IN 07 AFTER THE AIRLINE HAD STOLEN IT AND SHE WAS PAID FOR THE STOLEN JEWELRY. BUT HER LIES WERE OK WITH THE JUDGE TO RULE ON STATING FROM HER "INCREDIBLE TESTIMONY".

WITH ALL THE FLAT OUT LIES HOW CAN A JUDGE RULE ON LIES WITHOUT ANY FACTS? IS THIS THE NORMAL ARIZONA JUSTICE SYSTEM, IF SO PLEASE INFORM THE PUBLIC.

PETITIONER LIED ABOUT VALUE OF THE JEWELRY SHE WAS PAID FOR, FROM THE AIRLINE THAT STOLE IT. SHE HAS SUBMITTED SEVERAL VALUES WAY OVER WHAT SHE LISTED TO THE AIRLINE, ANOTHER "INCREDIBLE TESTIMONY" I FILED A MOTION ABOUT THE LIES OF VALUE, BUT AGAIN DENIED.

THE PETITIONER LIED ABOUT A RING, AT ONE TIME SHE STATED TAKEN IN MAY, LATER SAID TAKEN IN JUNE WHICH LIE DID THE JUDGE BELIEVE FROM HER "INCREDIBLE TESTIMONY"? SHE STILL HAS THE RING.

THE PETITIONER LIED ABOUT THE VALUE OF THE RING SHE LIED ABOUT, LISTED THE AMOUNT FOR A DIAMOND, BUT SHE HAD A LARGER FAKE STONE INSTALLED, BUT JUDGES BELIEVES HER "INCREDIBLE TESTIMONY". SO SHE HAS THE EXPENSIVE STONE PLUS THE RING WITH THE FAKE STONE, INSTALLED AT WALT'S JEWELRY IN CHANDLER.

THE PETITIONER LIED ABOUT GIFTS, LATER ADMITTED THE TRUTH IN COURT.

THE PETITIONER LIED TO JUDGE                      OR JUDGE                      ABOUT CHILDREN, TOLD EACH DIFFERENT NUMBERS.

I PRESENTED DOCUMENTS TO SHOW COMMUNITY DEBTS, WHICH THE JUDGE IGNORED, BUT RULED IN THE PETITIONER'S FAVOR FROM HER LIES AND ABSOLUTELY NO PROOF OF HER LIES, IS THIS THE ARIZONA LEGAL SYSTEM? NO PROOF HER "INCREDIBLE LYING TESTIMONY"

THE PETITIONER ON HER LAST MOTION LIED ABOUT OUR INCOME

THE PETITIONER ON HER LAST MOTION LIED ABOUT MY POSITION

I WAS ALSO CHARGED WITH TWO OF HER LAWYERS FEES AND SHE HAD ALL OF OUR MONEY AND EVERYTHING, WHY?

THEY ALSO LIST SERVICE, THIS WAS RECEIVED AND SPENT WHEN WE WERE MARRIED. WHY? ANYONE CAN SEE THIS TRIAL HAS BEEN A LITTLE ONE WAY BASED ON LIES, FALSE DOCUMENTATION AND JUDGES FAVORING ONE PERSON, WHY?

SO I HOPE SOMEONE WILL REVIEW THIS CASE AND SEE ALL OF THE FALSE DOCUMENTATION AND LIES. PLEASE HAVE THEM SHOW ME WHERE THIS VEHICLE HAS EVER BEEN THAT I AM CHARGED FOR AND JAILED ON. THE JUDGE'S RULING ON FALSE INFORMATION AND HAVE HER PROVE HER LIES. SO THE TAXPAYERS DO NOT HAVE TO PAY FOR PEOPLE IN JAIL FROM LIES AND A FALSE VEHICLE.

WILL THE TAX PAYERS THINK IT IS A LITTLE ODD THAT ALL OF THE RESPONDENTS MOTION ARE DENIED, BUT CONVICTED FROM LIES AND PUT IN JAIL FROM LIES AND FALSE DOCUMENTS FROM THE PETITIONER.

THE ITEMS ABOVE WERE ON THE REBUTTAL AND HAVE BEEN LISTED MANY TIMES ON MOTIONS AND LETTERS TO THE COURT OF APPEALS AND SUPREME COURT, BUT AS YOU CAN SEE THE COURTS WILL NOT CORRECT ANY OF THEIR CORRUPT PRIBLEMS, BUT PASS THEM ON TO SOMEONE ELSE, SO WILL SEE IF SOMEONE WILL INVESTIGATE THE CORRUPT SYSTEM.

THE ENCLOSED LETTER FROM THE SUPREME COURT STATES **“COURTS CANNOT PREVENT PEOPLE FROM LYING.” BUT WHAT ARE THE COURTS DOING WHEN THEY HAVE PROOF OF THE LIES, THEY HAVE DONE NOTHING AND PROOF HAS BEEN SUBMITTED MANY TIMES.** AND THEY STILL ARE CHARGING ME FOR A VEHEICLE WHICH THERE HAS NEVER BEEN THIS VEHICLE. SO THE COURTS JUST LISTENS TO ONE SIDE AND RULES ON WHAT THEY LIKE, AND PUT PEOPLE IN JAIL AND LET THE TAX PAYERS PAY FOR THE CORRUPT RULINGS.

WILL CHECK, BUT BELIEVE THE AMERICAN LAW SAYS SOMETHING ABOUT INNOCENT UNTIL PROVEN GUILTY, BUT BELIEVE I WAS CONVICTED WITH NO PROOF AND WOULD STILL LIKE TO SEE THIS NEW 2006 JEEP THAT THE JUDGES RULE ON PUT ME IN JAIL AND PLEASE LET THE AMERICAN PEOPLE KNOW IF THIS IS THE AMERICAN LEGAL SYSTEM OR JUST ARIZONA.

WITH ALL THE LIES I KNOW THE JUDGE CAN NOT BELIEVE THEM! BUT GUESS HE CAN NOT ADMIT HE WAS LIED TO AND RULED ON LIES, EASIER TO CHARGE SOMEONE AND PUT THEM IN JAIL SO THE TAX PAYERS ARE FORCED TO PAY MORE! SO BELIEVE THE TAX PAYERS SHOULD BE INFORMED OF WHAT THEY ARE PAYING FOR.

THANK YOU

ATTACHED: LETTER FROM SUPREME COURT DATED 15 APR 11  
LETTER FROM THE GOVERNORS OFFICE DATED 28 MAR 11