

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 11-148

Complainant: No. 1420210034A

Judge: No. 1420210034B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge threatened him, made inappropriate inquiries and suggestions, and issued incorrect rulings. The commission reviewed the complaint and the case history and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The primary allegations concern disagreements with the judge's rulings, which are outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 6, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 6, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-148

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____

Judge's name: _____

Date: 24 MAY 2011

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

- DURING A PRE-TRIAL RESOLUTION CONFERENCE, I ~~WAS~~ SUGGESTED THAT I WOULD BE WILLING TO DROP THE WHOLE CASE. THE, HONO JUDGE ASKED ME IF I WAS THREATENING HIM, AND THAT HE COULD JAIL ME. I STATED THAT I CERTAINLY WAS NOT THREATENING HIM, AND HAVE NO INTENTION TO. HIM ASKING ME, THAT ACTUALLY ~~BE~~ AND THREATENING THREATENING ME, WAS AN IMPROPER DISSUSSION. I ~~FEEL~~ ^{WAS} INTIMIDATED. MY ATTORNEY, MICHAEL E. FARROW, ESQ, WAS A WITNESS.
- THE JUDGE ALSO ~~SUG~~ THEN SUGGESTED I ~~LIVE IN A~~ ACCEPT, AND LIVE IN A SECOND PIECE OF MARITAL PROPERTY, WHICH IS ~~ALRE~~ A RENTAL, AND ALREADY RENTED, AND WORTH FAR LESS THAN THE MAIN MARITAL RESIDENCE, (WHERE I HAD RESIDED BEFORE THE MARITAL INJUNCTION) AS PART OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. THAT WAS AN UNFAIR OFFER, AND BIASED. I ~~DECL~~-LINED THE SETTLEMENT. MY LAWYER, MICHAEL E. FARROW, ESQ, WAS A WITNESS. I CONTINUED TO TRIAL, PRODUCED \$75,000. MORE LIEN EVIDENCE, AND ~~RECE~~ RECEIVED FAR LESS THAN HALF OF ~~THE~~ THE SETTLEMENT OFFER; A VERY BIASED SETTLEMENT AND DECREE.
- THE JUDGE'S THREATS WERE EGREGIOUS AND HOSTILE TOWARDS ME. MY ATTORNEY, MICHAEL E. FARROW WAS PRESENT, AND A WITNESS.
- THE JUDGE HAS A DISABILITY TO RENDER A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL DECISION. HE HAS ~~BE~~ CENSORED IN THE PAST ~~BEEN~~ CENSORED IN THE PAST FOR FAULTY JUDGEMENT, AND HIS JUDGEMENT IS STILL FAULTY, ~~HE~~ IN THIS DISSOLUTION CASE THAT HE AND I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)
(SEE ATTACHMENTS)



①

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE. CONT...

RE: DECREE OF DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE.

- IN SECTION II OF THE DECREE OF DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE, CASE NO. DO 201000485, MY EX-SPOUSE WAS ORDERED TO PAY ME \$7,25.00. IN SECTION VI, "RESPONDENT ~~HE~~ (ME), SHALL PAY TO PETITIONER \$1,500.00 TOWARD RESPONDENT'S (ME), ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS." THIS IS ANOTE AN EXAMPLE OF THE JUDGE'S INEFFECTIVE ABILITY TO RENDER THE BUSINESS OF THE COURTS.

- SEC II, E; PROPERTY DIVISION. I DID NOT WAIVE MY INTEREST IN THE PETITIONER'S SOCIAL SECURITY INCOME. I HIRED A COURT REPORTER WHO CAN VERIFY THAT. ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF THE JUDGE'S BIAS AND POOR JUDGEMENT AND BEHAVIOR.

- THE PROVISIONS OF THE DECREE OF DISSOLUTION WERE NOT, "FAIR AND EQUITABLE," BASED ON THE JUDGE'S FAULTLY, INEFFECTIVE, BIASED, UNFAIR, THREATENING, IMPROPER, BEHAVIOR DURING THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE COURT'S BUSINESS.

- ~~THE~~ FINDINGS: 8. THERE WAS NO PROVISION RE: THE FOR SPOUSAL MAINTENANCE; ATTORNEY FEES WERE BIASED. ~~AND~~ UNFAIR AND BIASED RULING AGAIN ON THE PART OF THE JUDGE.

- THE JUDGE STATED THAT THE PROPERTY DIVISION WAS NOT ~~BE~~ COMPLETELY CORRECT, BUT ~~AND~~ GOOD ENOUGH, OR SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT. ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF IMPROPER, UNFAIR, NON-EQUITABLE, AND BIASED BEHAVIOR ON HIS PART. HIS EXACT STATEMENT WAS RECORDED BY THE COURT REPORTER.

- THE JUDGE WAS UN-ETHICAL IN HIS BEHAVIOR TOWARDS ME, MY LAWYERS, THE COURT, AND MY EX-SPOUSE.

- THE
- THE JUDGE AT A PRE-TRIAL RESOLUTION MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE ASKED ME IF I HAD ~~SO~~ EVER BEEN TO COUNCELING - AN INAPPROPRIATE QUESTION AND BEHAVIOR ON HIS PART. I STATED I HAD, ~~I CONNECTION~~ IN CALIF RE. JUSTICE COURT MANDATE, AND ORDER OF PROTECTION, WHICH HE SIGNED. HE THEN TALKED OF PLAYING GOLF IN A CITY WHERE I COUNCELLED. GOLF IS AN INAPPROPRIATE SUBJECT AND BEHAVIOR TO DISCUSS DURING A RESOLUTION MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. HE USED THE TROUBLE THAT I HAD BEEN IN AS A ~~BIASED~~ BIASED, LEVERAGING FORM OF NEGOTIATING ON MY EX-SPOUSES BEHALF. ANY TROUBLE I HAD BEEN IN WAS ~~DISMISSED~~ DISMISSED, VIA COURT MANDATE. THE JUDGE WAS STILL USING MY PRIOR TROUBLE AGAINST ME. UNETHICAL, BIASED, AND INAPPROPRIATE BEHAVIOR BY THE JUDGE, TOWARDS ME. HE IMPLIED SOMETHING WAS WRONG WITH ME, WITHOUT BEING A SPECIALIST IN THE MEDICAL FIELD, AND HELD THAT AGAINST ME. MY ATTORNEY, MICHAEL E. FARROW, ESQ, WAS PRESENT, AND A WITNESS TO THIS CONVERSATION.
 - THE JUDGE NEVER HEARD AN ORDER OF PROTECTION THAT HAD BEEN PLACED UPON ME, BY HIM, MAY: 2010, EVEN THOUGH I REQUESTED THAT THE ORDER BE CONTESTED, SEVERAL TIMES, THROUGH MY ATTORNEY. THE JUDGE ALSO PLACED ~~AS~~ A SECOND O.O.P. UPON ME, THE DAY OF THE ACTUAL DISSOLUTION HEARING, A YEAR LATER, FOR NO ~~OTHER~~ OTHER REASON THAN THE FIRST, (WHICH I HAD NOT BROKEN), EXCEPT →

THAT THE DISSOLUTION WAS STILL ONGOING. THE MARRIAGE ENDED THAT DAY, AND I AM LEFT WITH AN ORDER OF PROTECTION, WHICH PRECLUDES ME FROM MY LINE OF WORK, AND DISABLED MY PENDING APPLICATION WITH THE SIERRA VISTA POLICE DEPARTMENT, BECAUSE OF ~~THE~~ THIS NEFARIOUS ORDER OF PROTECTION.

THE ORDER OF PROTECTION(S) WERE COMBINED WITH THE DISSOLUTION CASE, ALL HANDLED BY THE SAME JUDGE, WITH FAULTY BEHAVIOR. AFTER MORE THAN ONE YEAR OF NOT BEING HOME, OR CONTACTING MY EX-SPOUSE, THE ORDER, (MY REBUTTAL), HAS STILL NOT BEEN HEARD IN COURT. BOTH MY PREVIOUS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, MY EX-SPOUSE, HER ATTORNEY, THE COURT REPORTER, AND ANYONE ELSE IN THAT COURTROOM, CANNOT PROVE THAT THAT (THOSE) ORDERS OF PROTECTION, WERE HEARD. THIS BEHAVIOR BY THIS JUDGE IS IN-EXCUSABLE, INAPPROPRIATE, UNFAIR, BIASED CONTEMPTABLE, AND JUST PLAIN WRONG. HE SHOULD BE REMOVED FROM THE BENCH FOR HIS BAD BEHAVIOR. WHY WAS I NOT GIVEN THE FAIR OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK (DEFEND) MYSELF, IN THAT JUDGE'S COURTROOM. IS HE MY GOD? HE IS NOT A GOOD JUDGE. HE IS A VERY, WELL, ~~AA~~ PERSON, WHO DOESN'T ~~DO HIS JOB~~ BEHAVE WELL, WHILE PRESIDING OVER CASES.

ACTUAL COMPLAINTS: (SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS)

- ~~WILLFUL~~ WILLFUL MISCONDUCT IN OFFICE.
- ~~WILLFUL~~ WILLFUL AND ~~PERSISTANT~~ PERSISTANT FAILURE TO PERFORM DUTIES.
- HABITUAL INTEMPERANCE
- PERMANENT DISABILITIES THAT INTERFERE WITH JUDICIAL DUTIES.
- CONDUCT THAT BRINGS THE JUDICIAL INTO DISREPUTE.
- A ~~WAS~~ VIOLATION OF THE CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT.

IN CLOSING, I BELIEVE THIS JUDGE'S BEHAVIOR ~~LED~~ WAS INAPPROPRIATE AND DETRIMENTAL TO MYSELF AND THE COURT SYSTEM. HE SHOULD BE REMOVED FROM THE BENCH.

~~HIS DECISIONS WERE BIASED, UNFAIR AND INEQUITABLE. I HAVE LOST ALMOST EVERYTHING I OWN, ~~BECAUSE~~ BECAUSE OF HIS POOR JUDGEMENT AND BEHAVIOR.~~

SIGNED,