## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-151	
Complainant:		No. 1420410795A
Judge:		No. 1420410795B

## ORDER

The complainant alleged that a justice court hearing officer mishandled his small claims case, and a justice of the peace improperly failed to vacate the judgment. After reviewing the complaint and listening to the recording of the hearing, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the hearing officer. There is no appeal available in small claims cases; therefore, the justice of the peace did not act unethically in denying the request to vacate judgment. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 14, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 14, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2011-151

## **COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE		
Your name	Judge's name	Date: June 08 2011
words what the judge did t times and places that will documents. Print or type of	e this form or plain paper of the same size to file a compethat you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specified us understand your concerns. You may attach add on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the coupon help that sending me the fours the furching	cific and list all of the names, dates, litional pages but not original court omplaint for your files.
	Justice Courts (Pina County Small Claims) of TSA	
also later with Ms.	) the following occurred in the case for	
me concerning her dispute	about routal security deposit refundo (of about \$370	of but by error she wrote \$0000)
Mr. was a	onfused, befuldled, annoyed, etc., and did not have a.	firm or good grasp of the case and
	ionally of prestrated, ruling hastly rather than taking t	
of his behavior and Plaint		
Defordant asked to v	not allow Plantifformational outburts against	(its not the first time skin attacked
ber landlord) but Mr.	did not stoothis.	V
Mr. was con	finished did not understand that Plaintiffs windence wa	s unreliable trainted papirated
	o unworthy & of the poorest quality while yet still sho	A 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
1	be tart & importalty, bringing disgrace &lack of integrity in	
	atther motion to the Court to arrend her Complaint seeking or	
1 2. 4 9. 20 1.	and the second of the second o	he bothered of this importably corner
over to other issues beg	bre him in this case, which is one of a small me	
the Court to diplay anim		
Defendants notion to	vacate judgment was timely filed & Plainty did not	respond, thereby defaulting. Ms
finally resy	sonded briefly & without addressing issues raised & die	missing the matter as to factual
I an appeal, when in a	conded frietly & without addressing issues raised & discountry she has the sower of authority (perhaps duity)	to look more closely at this case
to allow for an outcome mo	reprovable to both parties rather than the piases, wil	. I malice she andorsed with her
dismusal & his support of	<u> </u>	
The above are but a	Summary of what occurred in this matter but more	examples & information are available.
I hope I have pro	ovided Sufficient information for this complaint of for	you to look into the matter in order
to prevent this from happy	pening to other people Please seel free to Contact "	ie with your queries and thank
you for you help. God	pening to other people Please tel free to Contact in bless us all. Sincorely	