

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 11-152

Complainant: No. 1420510808A

Judge: No. 1420510808B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge made an erroneous ruling based on inaccurate information and alleged testimony at a hearing that never took place. After reviewing the complaint, additional information provided by the complainant, and documents available online, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Whether the judge issued a ruling supported by the facts is a legal matter outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 14, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 14, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-152

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name

Judge's name:

Date: 6-3-2011

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

This is probate for estate of

① Five beneficiaries and myself request my brothers will be followed. Each with the court stating their desire to follow the will and stated their trust in my actions. The court gave this no consideration.

② Court listen to the Atty. David K. Wilhelmsen give false or misleading statements. As to equal beneficiaries, if the court had taken 5 seconds to look at the will the court would have seen the truth, it is not 9 equal shares.

③ In case # P1300PB2011 under Advice ment ruling April 15, 2011 Paragraph 4. This testimony at hearing did not take place. There fore the court used false statement to make judgement.

I act for myself
and

+

and