

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 11-164

Complainant: No. 1385110423A

Judge: No. 1385110423B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that two superior court judges ignored her requests to enforce prior orders directing that she receive specified medical records needed to prosecute a civil lawsuit. After reviewing the allegations, the case history, and the minute entries available online, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of either judge. Whether the court ruled properly is a legal issue that is outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 14, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 14, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-164

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name:

Judge's Name:

Date: 5/22/11

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

The Judge took over for the Judge Assigned Due to Judge Rotations

The previous Judge ordered certain things and granted motions to compel & ordered records to be produced & when she did not get them she filed motions to comply with the court's previous orders. This Judge has hindered her case & refused to have them comply with the court's previous orders and she still needs the medical records and documents that Judge Blinley ordered her to get. The Judge has not fully looked at the previous minute entries where the court granted the motions in stead he is denying them. She requested the Presiding Judge review it and the Judge Blinley did and ignored it.

Therefore due to not having the certain forms & documents the trial had to be vacated and is still pending re-setting however.

This court Judge has denied motions and even allowed Defendants to file a motion to dismiss she never even got a copy of. She does not feel this Judge will use professional judgment - in her case and it needs to be properly addressed. She has tried to get all the documents previously ordered by the previous Judge & this Judge is stone walling her.

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)