

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 11-203

---

Complainant: No. 1358110699A

Judge: No. 1358110699B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge was biased and made incorrect decisions.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After thoroughly reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the members of the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission has no jurisdiction to determine the legal sufficiency of the judge's decisions. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 7, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

\_\_\_\_\_  
George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 6, 2011.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

Petitioner has filed a document captioned "Response to Answering the judge for qualified persons submit this list of minorities that are qualified for my black kids." In that document, Petitioner submits no list for appropriate supervisors, and, in any event, this Court would not restrict the list to minorities. Insofar as it requests the Court to do so, the request is DENIED. Petitioner may nonetheless submit a list of any persons, even all minorities that are qualified to Supervise parenting time.

On September 14, 2009, this Court ordered a list of supervisors with their qualifications. On October 6, 2009, Petitioner again submitted, a list (and asks for unsupervised visitation); But Again, fails to set forth qualifications. The list is denied, without prejudice, without further Information about supervision.

The Court has received a list of supervisors from Petitioner.  
IT IS ORDERED that Petitioner list their qualifications of the supervisors no later than September 18, 2009.  
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner set forth her position on whether she would Agree to the supervision by the listed supervisors by September 25, 2009.

This incident falls under the incompetence of Judge :Rule 2.2.

Judge requests that I , the Petitioner provide "Qualifications " But no set qualifications were presented therefor the list provided was based on my interpretation of qualifications. Several Had B.A. Degrees and work in Mental health and they were minorities The children in question are considered minorities.

Also 3<sup>rd</sup> Paragraph Judge ordered the Petitioner, (male) to agree on his own list of supervision and referring to Me as a female.

Venicia Higgins never responded to the court order and Judge did not follow up on her – non-compliance (Incident #1 of Fairness and Bias).

CC: Sandra M. Grice NAACP LAW FELLOW PROGRAM 4805 MT.HOPE DRIVE  
BALTIMORE MD 21215

AMERICAN CIVIL RIGHTS LIBERTIES UNION OF ARIZONA P.O. BOX 17148 PHOENIX  
AZ 85011