

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 11-204

Complainant: No. 1424710211A

Judge: No. 1424710211B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge unfairly ruled in favor of the plaintiffs in every court order.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After thoroughly reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the members of the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission has no jurisdiction to determine the legal sufficiency of the judge's decisions. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 7, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 7, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

To Tom Horn AG Office
From

July 26, 2011

WE ARE PRESENTLY IN A CIVIL COURT CASE # CV 2005- IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA IN MOHAVE COUNTY UNDER THE HONORABLE JUDGE. TO AVOID HAVING OUR CASE SETTLED IN COURT WE AGREED TO PUT OUR HOUSE UP FOR SALE TO PAY FOR THE RESTITUTION WE WERE AWARDED OF \$150,000, \$75,000 FOR THE FEDERAL CASE & \$75,000 FOR THE CIVIL CASE. IN 2008 WE OBTAINED THE NEVADA A DECLARATION OF HOMESTEAD TO PROTECT OUR HOME. IN 2007 KEITH S KNOCKEL COUNSEL FOR MARGRET B CAMPBELL THE PLAINTIFF HAD A LEIN FOR \$150,000 PUT ON OUR HOUSE FOR WHICH THE HOMESTEAD PROTECTION WAS TO PROTECT OUR INTEREST IN OUR HOME. WE HAVE TRIED FOR ALMOST 2 YEARS TO OBTAIN A HEAR TO PAY OFF THE RESTITUTION BUT NO HEARER WILL OK A HEAR WITH THE LEIN ON THE PROPERTY. WE HAVE ASKED SEVERAL TIMES FOR COUNSEL TO LIFT THE LEIN WITH NO SUCCESS AND ALSO ASKED THE JUDGE TO HELP US GET IT LIFTED ANYTIME WITH OR WITH. SEEING THE HOUSE HASN'T SOLD WE MADE HAD TO PROPOSE A PRICE TO JUDGE AND COUNSEL TO DO THE SAME. SEEING WE DOUBT

Come up with a price the judge has ordered us to adopt the plaintiffs proposal of which we are enclosing a copy & our proposal. Not only did the judge order us to abide by plaintiffs proposal of \$10,000 a month for 3 months and then \$20,000 for three months and then after January 2012 the judge has ordered us to reduce the price \$10,000 a month for 6 months. The house is on the market at a fair price as required in the settlement contract with the plaintiff we agreed. If the house didn't sell until July 2012 we would have lowered the price \$150,000 leaving us to sell for \$114,000 which is far from a fair price. We still owe \$88,000 on the house so if sold for \$114,000 we have to pay off the home loan, commission & closing costs leaving us nothing for a \$265,000 home.

I don't think the judge is being fair for everything is in favor of the plaintiff & most likely since we can't afford a lawyer to work for us. For us to obtain a loan the bid has to be lifted and the house off the market for six months while we are in the process of obtaining a

loan from Wells Fargo Financial. The
 Counsel contacted the lender for we do
 not know but right after their call
 Counsel was notified that our loan was
 not approved for the reason said Wells Fargo
 doesn't make loans to known felons &
 because there was a lien on the property
 we would like some help with
 our dilemma and feel we are being
 snowballed by Judge.

We are enclosing our stipulation &
 also counsel's stipulation, THE Judges Court
 order, Declaration of Homestead.

We hope you can help us for we
 think Judge is overstepping his
 boundary by ordering such drastic measures.

Sincerely