

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 11-209

---

Complainant: No. 1425010678A

Judge: No. 1425010678B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge was biased, unfair, and dilatory in handling his post-conviction relief proceeding.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After thoroughly reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the recording of the hearing, the members of the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission has no jurisdiction to determine the legal sufficiency of the judge's decisions. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 18, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

\_\_\_\_\_  
George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 18, 2011.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-009

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 8-14-11

**Instructions:** You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

THIS IS TO PROVE HOW BEAS Judge HAS BEEN TO ME.  
I AM A MEXICAN NATIONAL, THIS BEEN EXTRADICTED ON A FEDERAL  
TREATY THATS BEEN BREACHED BY THE STATE OF ARIZONA.  
I FILED A NOTICE FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF AND ATTORNEY  
KERRIE DROBAN WAS ASSIGNED TO ASSIST ME. SHE LATER  
WITHDREW FOR A CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND  
ASSIGNED ATTORNEY YVETTE C. GRAY TO FURTHER MY CAUSE.  
AFTER NUMEROUS CONTINUANCES, ATTORNEY YVETTE C. GRAY  
FILED A PETITION, RAISING ONE COGNIZABLE ISSUE. THE  
STATE'S PLEADING RESPONSE, REQUESTED DISMISSAL AND MS.  
GRAY FILED A (REPLY), REQUESTING PERMISSION FOR ME  
TO REASSIGN INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE AGAINST APPELLATE COUNSEL.  
THE JUDGE'S DECISION WAS DUE WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER THE  
REPLY WAS SUBMITTED ON MAY OF 2010. I FILED A SPECIAL  
ACTION IN THE COURT OF APPEALS AND REPORTED MS. GRAY  
TO THE STATE BAR; Judge THEN ALLOWED  
MS. GRAY TO WITHDRAW AND APPOINTED MR. RONALD DEBRIGIDA  
TO REPRESENT ME ON NOVEMBER 2010. AFTER MORE CONTINUANCES,  
Judge FORWARDED MY CASE TO JUDGE WARREN GANNINE,  
WHO DISMISSED MY CASE BECAUSE MY (NOTICE) WAS NOT FILED  
ON TIME? I BELIEVE Judge VIOLATED CANONS  
RULE 1.2 OF JUDICIARY CONDUCT AND WAS NOT FAIR AND  
IMPARTIAL DURING THOSE PROCEEDINGS. I UNDERSTAND YOU  
CANT CHANGE A JUDGE'S DECISION, BUT IT'S NON-DESIRED  
TO FOLLOW RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. HE SHOULD HAVE  
NEVER RE-APPOINTED TWO COUNSELORS, AFTER MRS. KERRIE  
DROBAN WITHDREW. PLEASE INVESTIGATE AND CONCLUDE...

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

CONTINUATION:

EVEN IF MY (NOTICE) WAS 5 DAYS LATE, I BELIEVE -  
 Judge SHOULD HAVE MADE HIS RULING  
 WITHIN THE PRESCRIBED TIME, AFTER THE REPLY WAS  
 SUBMITTED BY MS. YVETTE GRAY. MY CASE IS NOW  
 IN THE COURT OF APPEALS, IN DEVOTION ONE, BUT  
 ON A PETITION FOR REVIEW THAT I FILED AND NOT  
 BY MR. RONALD DEBRIGIDA. I BELIEVE THE JUDGE'S  
 ACTIONS ARE EGREGIOUS AND PREJUDICIAL, BECAUSE -  
 I FILED A SPECIAL ACTION AGAINST HIM! I AM  
 "ADAMANT" ON BEING INNOCENT OF THESE CHARGES,  
 AND BELIEVE THAT NOT ONLY I CAN PROVE INEFFECTIVE  
 ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL, BUT THAT MY CIVIL RIGHTS  
 HAVE BEEN VIOLATED BY THE STATE OF ARIZONA.  
 ALL THE INTERPRETERS EVER ASSIGNED, HAVE ALWAYS  
 HAD A DIFFERENT DIALECT THAN MINE AND BELIEVE  
 THEY HAVE MIS-STATED LAW, STATUTES AND CONCEPTS  
 THAT NEED TO BE ADDRESSED IN A PROFESSIONAL MANNER.  
 I FILED "THREE" SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS THAT MR.  
 WOULD NOT HONOR, DURING THE GRANTING  
 OF CONTINUANCES AND I NEED THE COMMISSION  
 TO INVESTIGATE AND REPRIMAND MR. FOR  
 ABUSING HIS DISCRETION. HE SHOULD HAVE MADE  
 HIS DECISION NOT TO APPOINT COUNSEL AND THEN  
 PASS THE BUCK TO JUDGE GRANVILLE TO HANDLE HIS  
 DIRTY DEEDS. YOUR RESPONSE IS TRULY APPRECIATED.  
 MY CASE CAN BE VIEWED ON LINE. THANK-YOU  
 Sincerely Signed