## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-214	
Complainant:		No. 1425510598A
Judge:		No. 1425510598B

## **ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a pro tem superior court judge did not consider her concerns before awarding primary physical custody of her child to his father.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After thoroughly reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the history of the case, the members of the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission has no jurisdiction to determine the legal sufficiency of court decisions. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 20, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 20, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

8/19/201/ 2011-214

- 1. I do not agree with Judge decision which was made on Friday August 19, 2011.
- 2. He did not consider my days off from work and awarded my child to her father on those days. The father was awarded all the days he has off from work. Where is the justice in this case?
- 3. Did not consider looking over the police reports that I had to show. Nor did he acknowledge claims I was attempting to make. Most of the time he ignored my complaints and did not comment at all but favored the father's complaints and I feel he awarded custody unjustly.
- 4. He gave the father every request but did not consider the mother's role in this custody battle. Even though a 2 year old child needs his father, I feel a child needs a mother more that 3 days one week and 4 days the next.
- 5. We must consider what is best for the child not concentrate on what the father WANTS.
- 6. Ron Gollihar told the Judge that I, Nancy needed physcological evaluation. This also was an unfair comment from Ron for the Judge to respond to before accepting Ron's statement. I feel the Judge should have told Ron to have proof before he presented such a statement.