

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 11-255

Complainant: No. 1428710581A

Judge: No. 1428710581B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a justice of the peace refused to allow him to obtain counsel, made inappropriate statements, and was biased.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and listening to the recording of the hearing, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 16, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 16, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona

Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-255

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 9/28/11

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

① ON 9/28/11 I WAS THE DEFENDANT IN FRONT OF JUDGE
JUDGE DENIED ME FROM HAVING A ATTORNEY
REPRESENT ME. ② JUDGE WOULD NOT LET ME USE THE
REST ROOM TO RELIEVE MYSELF, I ASKED JUDGE SEGAL 5 TIMES
IN 14 MINUTES, I FINALLY TOLD JUDGE I AM GETTING
OUT OF MY CHAIR AND LEFT TO GO TO THE BATHROOM, I WET PANTS
③ WHEN I RETURNED JUDGE WAS LAUGHING AT
ME WITH THE FOUR PLAINTIFF'S ON BY SAYING - OH I
HAVE TO GO GO TO THE BATHROOM, ALL STATED HA. HA. HA.
④ JUDGE WOULD NOT LET ME SEE ANY OF
EXHIBITS THE PLAINTIFFS PRODUCED AT THE HEARING. ⑤ JUDGE
KEPT STATING TO ME I AM NOT A GOOD CITIZEN DUE
TO ALOT OF PROTECTIVE ORDERS OVER 10 YEARS, WHEN I
TOLD JUDGE I WAS A LANDLORD AND HIRED ALOT OF
CONTRACTORS FOR WORK, MY TENANT'S WOULD NOT PAY ANY
RENT DUE AND CONTRACTOR'S WOULD TAKE DEPOSITS AND
NEVER RETURN, JUDGE TOLD ME TO SHUT UP
AND KEEP QUIET. ⑥ JUDGE WAS VERY PREJUDICE AND
BAIS TO ME FOR 4 1/2 HOURS ⑦ JUDGE STATED IN
OPEN COURT SHE WOULD DISMISS THE PLAINTIFF'S ORDER
OF HARRESSMENT - I HAVE TO SERVE UPON PLAINTIFF
IN FUTURE BEFORE MY HEARING WAS EVEN SCHEDULED
MY ORDER WAS ALREADY SIGNED BY JUDGE OSTAPUK ON 9/27/11
FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT, HOW CAN JUDGE
BE SO PREJUDICE AND BAIS TO ME.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

2011-25K
(8) JUDGE DURING my HEARING WAS STOPPING ON

(4) OCCASSIONS AND DOING ANOTHER CASE AND DOING OTHER ATTORNEY'S CIVIL LITAGATIONS MINUTES WITH JUST ABOUT NO END, WHAT KIND OF JUDGE IS THIS ON THE BENCH (9) JUDGE

KEPT YELLING OUT LOUD IN OPEN COURT TO KEEP CALLING THE PLAINTIFF DR. NANNEY WHEN COURT DOCUMENTS STATE HIM AS MR. NANNEY, SHE WAS SCREAMING AT ME ABOUT (8) TIMES WHICH I TOOK AS HASSEMENT AND BEING BELITTLED.

(10) JUDGE ALSO WAS MORE INTERESTED IN THE (4) OTHER SENIORS IN COURT ABOUT THEIR AGES AND WHAT THEY DID FOR A LIVING BEFORE RETIRING THEN my CASE, THIS WENT ON FOR ABOUT 45 MINUTES DURING my HEARING. (11) JUDGE

ALSO HAS "LAND LOCKED" me FROM INGRESS AND EGRESS FROM AND TO my HOME FROM THE ONLY EASEMENT I SHARE WITH (5) OTHER HOME OWNERS, my WIFE, MYSELF, AND (MR. LATHAM) my NEIGHBOR TOLD AND STATED TO JUDGE

my BACK ROAD IS CLOSED DUE TO (2) HOMES AND I CANNOT TRESPASS AND DRIVE ON THE ROAD DUE TO FENCEING BY THESE (2) OTHER HOME OWNERS, JUDGE STATED "THIS IS YOUR PROBLEM" "TOO BAD I DON'T CARE" NOW FOR ABOUT 7 DAYS I CAN'T GO TO WORK, THE DOCTOR, OR FOOD SHOPPING ETC. JUDGE HAD PUT THIS IN MY COURT ORDER.

I FILED A POLICE REPORT # _____ WITH THE PIMA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT ON 9/29/11, A DEPUTY CAME OUT. DEPUTY O'CONNOR BADGE # 6322 TO LOOK AT THE SITUATION OF MY PROPERTY CONCERNING: ALL OF MY 4 ACRES OF PROPERTY HAS ONLY (1) EASEMENT OF INGRESS AND EGRESS THE DEPUTY ADVISED ME THIS IS TRUE UPON HIS INSPECTION AND IT IS IN HIS REPORT.

(2) JUDGE _____ WON'T NOT LOOK AT ANY OF MY PICTURES (4) PICTURES I HAD AT HEARING AND ALSO REFUSED TO SHOW ANY OF PLAINTIFF'S PICTURES, ALSO PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY AND JUDGE CARAYON SMALL TALK AND SECRETS IN COURT. JUDGE _____ MADE A RULING ON

(4) OTHER CASES ON OCT 4, 2011 BEFORE A HEARING DATE SET FOR OCT 7, 2011.

THOSE CASE #'S ARE AS

I REMAIN

FOLLOWS ←
↓

CV-11	
CV 11	
CV 11	-
CV 11	-