## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

 Disposition of Complaint 11-267

 Complainant:
 No. 1429910953A

 Judge:
 No. 1429910953B

## ORDER

A protem justice of the peace made inappropriate public statements that could have called into question her impartiality.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission decided to dismiss this matter with a private advisory letter to the judge. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Dated: December 20, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Dominguez

Louis Frank Dominguez Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 20, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

**CONFIDENTIAL** State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-267

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

in the process of appealing their terminations. As former members of the Quartzsite Police Department, they have been, are and are likely to be witnesses in civil and criminal cases in the Quartzsite Justice Court Additionally, the Quartzsite Justice Court occasionally in the future. hears Quartzsite Municipal Court. support of these officers call into question her neutrality in cases in which they were involved. Additionally, her posting on a website dedicated to "supporters" of recently recalled Ed Foster, a former mayor who is at odds with the current government of the town of Quartzsite calls into question the abiand the Quartzsite Justice Court (which employs a lity of clerk engaged in a domestic relationship with a QPOA member and former Quartzsite Police Officer) to be fair to the officers of the Town of Quartzsite, including its council members and prosecutor, in cases, like Quartzsite Magistrate Court Case Number CR2011-State v. Stadler, especially where Stadler is an outspoken supporter of both the QPOA and Ed Foster and where that case involves a current town council member as a defendant. is in violation of Judicial Canon 1.2 by engaging in conduct which suggests a lack of impartiality and lends a marked appearance of impropriety on her part.