## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-269	
Complainant:		No. 1430110205A
Judge:		No. 1420110205B

## ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge failed to ensure he received copies of the court rulings on his several Rule 32 petitions for post-conviction relief.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the response from the judge, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: February 23, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 23, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-269

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name:

Judge's Name:

Date: 10-24-2011

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

THE ABOVE NAMED JUDGE WAS TRIAL JUDGE IN MARCH 1 2005 SCATENCING JUDGE IN MAY 31 2005 AND RULE 32 Judge in MY CASE ON MAY 31ST 2005 I WAS SENTENCED TO 15 YEARS FIAT FOR AlleGEDLY POINTING A GUN AT A Alle GED VICTIM A CLASS 3 DANGEPOUS FELONT AND 2ND DEGREE ESCAPO 2 TEARS AFTER I LOST TRIAL. THE JUDGE AT HIS NWN DISCRETION AMENDED THE INDICTMENT WHEN ARS 13-604.T VIOLATION PRIOR TO TRIAL. THAT TWICE DURING MY RULE 32 DETITIONS I HAVE NOT BEEN NOTIFIED OF GIVEN NOTICE THAT MY DETITIONS HAVE BEEN dissmissed MY first petition was dissmissed on march 27, 2009 And I was NOT Made a WARE UNTIL ASKED MY ATTORNET AND I WAS GIVEN TIME EXTENSION ON MARCH 25 2010 I FILED A PETITION ON FACTS THAT EXIST WICH PROVEIAM INDICENT WHERE THE ALLEGED VICTIM WROTE A LETTER CLAIMING TO HAVE APEN THREATHED BY THE STATE PROSECUTOR AND COERCED THE JUDGE NEVER EVEN ASKED FOR did He give me A Notice of dissmissing MY NOW ON THE 19 OF OCT 2011 FRECIVE A LETTER FROM THE RULE 32 MANAGEMENT UNIT WITH THO MINUTE ENTRY'S STATEING MY POST CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS Were diss missed on 33-2011 WICH MAKES IT THE SECOND TIME I do NOT THIS CONDUCT HADDEN ING entitled relief and He can denie RIGHTO BE NOTIFIED WHEN HP dissmisses MY PETITIONS APPOXIMATELY A WEEK TO ASTED ABOUT THIS CASE NUMBER AND ANOTHER, I BELIEVE IF HAD NOT WROTE TO THE CIEPT + WOULD OF Pesponse to MT DOST CONVICTION Relief Proceedings