

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 11-284

Complainant: No. 1228900554A

Judge: No. 1228900554B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a pro tem municipal court judge failed to dismiss an erroneous order of protection.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the allegations, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission has no authority to investigate the legal sufficiency of the judge's ruling. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 21, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 21, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-284

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 11-9-11

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

The complaint of pro term Judge Honorable
that did not dismiss the order
of protection with a incorrect address of the defendant.
the information was also incorrect on the relationship
given on the order of protection about the relationship
between the defendant and the plaintiff. Pro term
Honorable told the defendant was
unable to look at the plaintiff at at any times. She
felt this would be a threat to the plaintiff. Yet the
defendant has not threat the plaintiff at any time. the
plaintiff has contacted the defendant at different times
and has threat the defendant. the defendant has
always been courteous and kind thru these times.

This complain is now being presented to the Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct to take proper actions
toward Honorable with the incorrect
information on the paper of the order of protection
this is evidence of the actions of Honorable

I am also requesting that any and all court
cases by the defendant be handled by the Pinal
county court because of my (defendant) complaints
given to the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct.

11-9-11