

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 11-293

---

Complainant: No. 1431510741A

Judge: No. 1431510741B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that two superior court judges violated his right to a speedy trial and made improper statements.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the record available online, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. The record shows the delays in the case were caused by the complainant or his attorney. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 27, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

\_\_\_\_\_  
George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 27, 2011.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

To Whom it may Concern  
 (1) My Name is

I am writing this Complaint  
 on two different judges in the  
 Superior Court of Arizona

(1) My first Complaint was to do  
 with the Hon. [redacted] denied  
 me a Rule 8.3 Speedy Trial  
 Defendant was incarcerated at  
 [redacted] Institution

Defendant filed a 8.3 Speedy Trial  
 motion in [redacted], Defendant  
 sent two copies to the Clerk of  
 Courts and one copy to the State  
 attorney office. It was picked up  
 on [redacted] and transported  
 back to 4<sup>th</sup> Ave jail. Defendant left  
 his copy in his property in  
 property room.

Ms. [redacted] stated that if I  
 didn't have my copy one didn't  
 exist

2(A)

According to Rule 14.1 (A) the State  
 have 10 days to have the Defendant  
 arraigned. Defendant initial appearance  
 Defendant didn't have

Arrestment until Mrs.  
 stated in Court on record that, that  
 time frame was only nine day  
 Mrs. was very Bias  
 in her judicial Conduct ruling

(2) Hon. Judge

Stated that it was al-  
 right for my Lawyer to lie about  
 things he told the Judge  
 back in April. gave  
 the State a fifteen (15) day deadline  
 to have their 15.1 in when it  
 was time to return back in  
 front of about  
 the 15.1 my Lawyer

told the Judge that the  
 State had complied to her deadline  
 some two months later my Lawyer  
 filed a in front of Hon.

asking for a attention do to the  
 fact that he just found out that  
 the State wasnt complete

I bring this up in front of  
 Judge and she stated  
 on record that Lawyers lie some  
 time to keep the Court Cases from  
 backing up

all I ask is that Liberty & Life  
is granted to me please

Thank you