State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-305	
Complainant:		No. 1432710346A
Judge:		No. 1432710346B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge made erroneous evidentiary rulings.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to investigate the legal sufficiency of the judge's ruling. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 27, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 27, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-305

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE		
Your name:	Judge's name:	_Date:
words what the judge did that times and places that will hel documents. Print or type on o	is form or plain paper of the same size to file a good believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be p us understand your concerns. You may attach one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the concerns. To be compelled to control to the compelled to control to the compelled to control to the control to	specific and list all of the names, dates, additional pages but not original court ne complaint for your files.
_		hi Schote
<u></u>	pective of truth or avi	
in Collusion I	F Judge does Loot AXh	
Protocol This	Judge to with ruled on	a 801. Motion to
Sanitize Motion	on. This Motion was	s then allowed into
evidence in f	ront of a Jury which	h was so Prolidice
IN Nature That	ne probative value o	f this erronus evidence
irrepairable d	lestroyed my constitut	rional right to a fair
and impartial	Jury Bee ID at (20)	to 121) (the Judge
Violated Canons	s. By allowing the pr	roceeding to Conthive
She di	d not allow to pertiner	the orderede in the
Crucial Phases	s of proceedings in a	Criminal proceeding
Idge is held	responsible for the m	fuct of her or
his officers i	of the courtrand as	
pearing was	requested the Judge h	ad a ethical obligation
to rule on		anstitutional Salaparo
Protect traj	detendants as burden	rison State. This
	in evidence that Co	
	isoness tree) preclude	
to be Wed	in-see court transcr	ips and lack of
	because of onuit	
	HIONS GIVE MORE TO	
Judge to	vie exally in Proces	edings. The Ubick of

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

ig 1. and Niviated Banedrant II amordhant

also Judge. E Ruled that my prior that was ruled as a open end class to Febry. was introduced to enhance my sentence and was used as a extreme aggravator. when I paid fine off while I was in custody and that was the warn ingridient to have It reduced to a Misdemeror. In the first place I had no violations for 3 years on probation She further abused her discretion, when attorney was manipulated to have proceeding assigned to another Judge for Disposition (Dates) fines where paid off (Sep. 2010) fines where paid by In the amount of (4,700 dollars) this action droped my class 6 felong to a grosschess 1. Misdemeanor. 1. Misdemeanor.

(under penalty of Perjury)

Signed this day