

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 12-021

---

Complainant: No. 1373810602A

Judge: No. 1373810602B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a pro tem justice of the peace made incorrect statements about the law, delayed issuing rulings, and violated his due process rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the judge's response, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission has no jurisdiction to determine the legal sufficiency of the judge's decisions. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 29, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 29, 2012.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

January 17, 2012  
CERTIFIED MAIL

Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington St. Suite 229  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Greetings:

This is a formal complaint against \_\_\_\_\_ He is an appointed justice of the peace; *pro tem*. He is appointed by the county supervisors of Yavapai County.

is in violation of the following:

Canons of Judicial Conduct 3 B (8)

Article VI, Section 21 of the Constitution of the State of Arizona

ARS 11-424.02(A)

Rule 91(C) Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona

Canon 3 13 (2)

180 Ariz 240, 241, 883 P2d 996

Entering false information on official court records

Failure to rule on pending matters within the 60 day required limit

Disregard for the law and Supreme Court decisions

Contempt of the law and Judicial Canons

Misprisions, malfeasance and non-feasance

You may read-in to my Federal Complaint against Judge \_\_\_\_\_ at: **3:11-cv-08199-JAT**.

Twice \_\_\_\_\_ acting as an appointed justice of the peace *pro tem*, entered false information into an official court record. Twice \_\_\_\_\_ quoted falsely a from Superior Court ruling and falsely quoted a ruling from Division 1 of Arizona Court of Appeals. Then \_\_\_\_\_ based his illegal ruling on these falsely cited opinions. \_\_\_\_\_ rulings negatively affected my case and denied me due process of law.

\_\_\_\_\_ falsely stated, in court Orders, that both the Superior Court and Division 1 of the Court of Appeals "affirmatively placed jurisdiction over the motor vehicle code with the justice court." This is untrue and the state's attorney agrees, on record that no such ruling from either of these courts exists.

Based on these false assertions \_\_\_\_\_ then attempted to put me on trial in the clear absence of both *in personam* jurisdiction and subject-matter jurisdiction. These are violations of guaranteed constitutional rights and a violation of due process of law. Further, \_\_\_\_\_ usurped the state's role by attempting to prove jurisdiction himself instead of allowing the state to prove jurisdiction exists.

Additionally, \_\_\_\_\_ repeatedly allowed pleadings; requiring his rulings, to fester on his docket in excess of the 60 day limit. These delays negatively affected the administration of my case. Further, \_\_\_\_\_ has, in a court Order, denied my appeal of his rulings. I do not believe a judge can deny an appeal of his own rulings but this is what has occurred in my case.

You may access these illegal Orders from my case file in \_\_\_\_\_ Justice Court: DR 09-\_\_\_\_\_.

I hope you will look into this matter and take appropriate corrective action immediately.