## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 12-054	
Complainant:		No. 1256700555A
Judge:		No. 1256700555B
Guago.		110. 12007 00000

## ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge entered a fraudulent court order and decree in 2003, and a superior court commissioner failed to address the fraudulent order and decree when brought to her attention.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge or the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and various electronic court records, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that neither the judge nor the commissioner violated the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to investigate the legal sufficiency of the court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 5, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 5, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

February 22, 2012

Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, AZ 85007

and I would like to file a compliant with the Arizona My name is of the Superior Court Commission on Judicial Conduct against Judge Tucson. On January 3, 2012 I faxed a letter to Tucson's Superior Court Clerk requesting an explanation to how a Mr. who is an attorney was able to file a forged Pro Per response in my name without my knowledge and pay for the filing with his own personal check. I then received a letter from Judge dated enclosed in her letter for my review copies of my January 10, 2012. Judge Divorce Decree and Child support Order. I reviewed the documents as Judge requested me to and found that the documents have been falsified, I then faxed Judge on January 17, 2012, a three page letter with fifteen pages of documents and supporting evidence of my discovery. I requested that she, Judge and the courts serious examine the fraud that has taken place in their court and hold the guilt parties accountable.

I then received a letter dated January 17, 2012 from Judge secretary M. notifying me that they received my faxed correspondence and it was been returned unread, and that Judge is prohibited from reading it or considering it. letter to me only contained my Three page letter to the Judge Secretary and not the documents and evidence which totaled fifteen pages. I have faxed requesting the return of my documents I have yet to several letters to secretary receive them. I am requesting an investigation of Judge her office and the documents that I provide to her that indicated fraud upon the court by Judge attorney and attorney all of Tucson.

I would also like to ask that the Commission on Judicial Conduct review the documents that Judge office received on January 17, 2012 that she is prohibited from reading, and provide her with the evidence that shows fraud upon the court and the violations of my constitutional rights. I did not ask Judge for any documents to review I have a right to let her know that the documents she provide to me for review are fraudgelent documents and I expect that the Commission on Judicial Conduct feels it has a duty to let her know as well. Please note a copy of this compliant will be faxed to Chief Justice for review. Enclosed are copies of the documents that were provide to Judge and her office for review. Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,