

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 12-081

---

Complainant: No. 0031710538A

Judge: No. 0031710538B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a justice of the peace demonstrated bias against him by dismissing his small claims cases and by improperly finding him guilty of a traffic violation. He further alleged the judge prevented him from proving another claim by quashing a subpoena he served on a witness.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to investigate the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 1, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 1, 2012.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-081

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: .

Judge's name:

Date: 3-22-2012

**Instructions:** You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

- 1) small claims case vs trial at her court in  
in January 2012 She ruled 'against me as the plaintiff'  
when I was owed \$350 by the defendant
- 2) In the summer of 2011 She ruled against me, the plaintiff  
I had sued a towing company who had my car for 16 months  
and they had no business towing it from private property.  
The car was towed months before I found out about it when I was  
a political prisoner. During the trial she states against me "that driving on  
public highways is a privilege" after I had read to her several Shepardiseaf  
'case laws stating otherwise. As a private person this is a well established  
right going back several decades.
- 3) On the trial of failure to use turn signal on March 5 she says  
the same thing after I quote similar + some case law including  
Thompson v Smith 154 SE 579, Chicago Motor Coach v Chicago 337 Ill 200,  
169 NE 22 ALR (see attached sheet) She fined me \$211 on this case.

Under Article VI clause 2+3 of the U.S. Constitution she has sworn  
by oath to uphold and she apparently is not aware of Boyd vs  
U.S. 116 US 616 (Hadfield, supra)

After 3 judgements against me in various types of cases from being  
plaintiff to defendant I strongly feel she is biased, not fair, ignores  
Constitutional rights of several Amendments

(Attach additional sheets as needed)