

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-096

Complainant: No. 1439710156A

Judge: No. 1439710156B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge improperly authorized substitute service of a lawsuit against him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the electronic records of the case in question, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 26, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 26, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-096

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date:

4-16-2012

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

On or about 3/29/2012 Judge _____ approved a motion for alternative service in case CV2012-_____

My complaint is that the address where alternative service was requested was different than the address on the original lawsuit paperwork. Judge _____ should not have approved the motion because the address belongs to me, the defendant. As a result of Judge _____ approving the motion I had to file an identity theft report, contact my credit reporting agencies and issue a notice of trespass against the process server.

Judge _____ should have questioned the address on the motion filed 3-29-2012 and had he done so, the fact that my property is not now owned by the defendant and has never been owned by the defendant would have been discovered.

I have never met or spoken to the defendant despite his ~~name~~ ^{name} ~~name~~ is similar to mine.

I have been inconvenienced by the actions of _____