State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-145

Complainant:

No. 1443310772A

Judge:

No. 1443310772B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner demonstrated bias by ignoring the evidence presented and denying his request for a change of venue.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the commissioner's response, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 16, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 16, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2012-145

Name of Person Filing: Street Address:

City, State, Zip Code:

Telephone Number:

Email Address:

K Representing Self (No Attorney) or Represented by Attorney If Attorney, Bar Number:

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA PINAL COUNTY

In the Matter of:

CASE NUMBER:

TITLE:

HONORABLE:

CHANGE OF VENUE

1. PETITIONER LIVES IN COUNTY TRAVELING DISTANC & TIME OF WORK, 2. THE CHILDREN INVOLVED

RESIDE IN

MARICOPA COUNTY. 3, BEVMURREN OF CHICD SUPPOR OFFICER ALLOWED RESPONDENT TO FALSE INFORMATION in the chicosuppor work sheet.

Page 1 of 2

Blank Title Page_COSCPinal_08.03.11 Use only most current version

ON APRIL 13-12 I Requested A change of Venue, # that was Denied. Both parties And children do not live in Pinal county, COURT DAY AND TIME, 130 PM ON 5-15-12 Respondent () spent 40 min. With child Sypport (When there Times was over, they didnor Allow ME Anytime TO discuss the issues that I MAY HAVE, I provided the Judge with my W2 And check Stubs. I ASK the Juge to compel And provide the Respondents W2 under the AZ FAMily LAW OF proceduere, And the Judge isnored ME three seperate times. Tite Judge on Receiving the Respondent check stubs, said in Fact she could NOT Read them, The Judge Still proceeded to make A Ruling on A CASE When she didnot HAKE READABLE And PROPPER information by AZ RUIES OF FAMILY LAW,

20f 4

On My checks she compled to SAY2012-145 I MAde), 2000 more A Month then I ActuAlly do,

I WAS TRYING to explaine to the Juppe Regarding my overime with my disibility, the Judge in COURT MADE the STATEMENT that you look Fine To ME, (Disibility descrimination) the Judge is Requiring Me to WORK extraordinary WORK Regimen with my given occupation, Working Hours and Working Londitions, And modical Disibility. My work schedule is over 12 Hour shifts, When in FACT 40 Hours A week should Be the only thing considered to My Risk of Heath,

THE Jurge ASK Me if I had Anything to say, And At that point there was nothing I could say. It was Very clear that I was Treated differently than the Respondent in this case from the beginning to the end of this Hearing, most everthing the Jurge said in hearing was different then the Actual ORCLER, Actions of

30+42012-145

1 feel there was no Reason for the change of Venue +0 be denied, I feel the conflict of infrest WAS CLEAR in this case in this county, Mitr record, I fect And feel Very uncomptorable and scared About her Makeing A Ruleing And decisiontion on my CASE wich she did not take Scriously, with the BACKground of she should have no authority to Rule on This CASE. I WANT banned from the Bench for descrimination, misconduct, disibility descrimination, The Judge has violated my CONSTITUTIONAL Rights And I have been descriminated Against by being treated differently than the other Person in this CASE by gender. I have lost my certain Rights without a Meaningful Hearing or even a oppurtunity to be heard And Listened to.

40f 4

2012-145

WAS trying to intiminidate Me from excercising Any Opionion in the court Room, she didnot follow the AZ and federal LAWS and Conduct,

has violated the LAW. 2.2 inpartially and fames, 2.3 bias and presudice and harrasment. 2.6 ensuring the right to be heard and Listened to. R25104 discrimination. THE TRANSCRIPTS OF this hearing Will show she should not be on the Bench, Impartiality in this cares with this Shoge should be disqualified, THANK YOU