

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-151

Complainant: No. 1003310641A

Judge: No. 1003310641B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge violated his constitutional rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's ruling. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 15, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 15, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

JUN 05 2012

Commission on Judicial Conduct
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
 Phoenix, AZ 85007

June 1, 2012

Re: Judicial Corruption of Judge Maricopa County Superior Court

There is a pattern of judicial corruption in County Superior Court. Previously, the undersigned submitted a judicial complaint against Judge for violating his rights under the Arizona Constitution's Victim's Rights Amendment. In retaliation, Judge ordered be prosecuted for a bogus guardianship case. Next, Judge issued an administrative order pretextually labeling vexatious in order to discriminate against a disabled citizen and violate Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 3. While prevented disabled citizens from appealing Medicaid denials of care and left them languishing without treatment, she simultaneously filed a vexatious lawsuit against Maricopa County and Maricopa County determined it was cheaper to pay \$500,000.00 to make her go away rather than defend the case for much more. Now, Judge is at it again having violated 2nd Amendment rights, 14th Amendment rights to procedural due process, 8th Amendment rights to unreasonable bail, and has tampered an expert witness because she did not like her affidavit indicating cannot be in the sun to come to court.

2nd Amendment Rights: refuses to delete from the NICS database, causing damages and lost employment opportunities and God given self defense protections. is not a danger to himself or others and in the federal action challenging the constitutionality of the federal firearms statutes filed, the US Attorney indicated the Superior Court should delete because state law provides a mechanism to delete a NICS entry. See ARS 13-925(H). defense counsel, is committing malpractice by refusing/delaying/slow litigation techniques with regards to arguing this point and supplementing the current petition to terminate. Judge fosters an environment allowing to commit malpractice because it serves her ultimate goal of violating the civil rights of Clearly, destroyed or caused to disappear two separate malpractice civil case filings submitted to the Superior Court Clerk relating to malpractice. These are official documents filed by a citizen that are not to be destroyed by a corrupt judge. This violates procedural due process under the 14th Amendment to file a case being that the only reason the Superior Court was able to get a bogus guardianship finding against him was due to ineffective assistance of defense counsel and that there is no mechanism to appeal a denial of

prefiling review (lack of first non-discretionary appeal), and lack of the opportunity to be heard on the complaint because the Public Fiduciary's counsel has recused himself from approving new civil complaints and the Guardian Ad Litem will not discuss it with [redacted] is at risk of violating a restraining order if [redacted] contacts the Guardian Ad Litem to comply with the prefiling review order.

8th Amendment Violation and Witness Tampering: [redacted] violated [redacted] 8th Amendment rights by attempting to issue an arrest warrant against him for \$2000, despite the fact that he only earns \$698 in monies which are exempt from legal process pursuant to federal law. When [redacted] could not come to court in person due to disability she tampered with a witness Dermatologist Nurse Practitioner [redacted] by forcing [redacted] to read a threatening minute entry wherein she indicated she opposed her finding that [redacted] needed to avoid the sun and could testify telephonically and demanded that [redacted] physician (M.D.) supervisor instead of [redacted] (Nurse Practitioner) re-write her affidavit to indicate [redacted] could be in the sun if he was shielded by window tint in the car while driving down to the court building. This level of corruption caused [redacted] to temporarily flee the state until he gets his bogus guardianship case dismissed so as to not be at risk of unconstitutional contempt citations. Not content to let the matter rest, Judge [redacted] is set to follow [redacted] to the ends of the earth by directing Guardian Ad Litem to continue harassing [redacted] across state lines by annoying Washington officials with her pleas for a bogus guardianship transfer.

Based on the foregoing, Judge [redacted] has demonstrated she is incapable of upholding the constitution and should be censured and/or removed from office.

Very truly yours,